Modified tables in response to Review 1

Table 1: Selected epoxides used as coating reagentstable



Experiment	EP-Coating	EP theoretical	EP concentrated to 100 μL [mmol/L]	Approx. applied gases:		Breakthrough	
(Fig. 1a)	Solution [mmol/L]	on denuder [µmol]		HBr [µmol]	HCl [µmol]	2. denuder [%]	3. denuder [%]
3 denuder in series	7.5	22.5	225	0.2	None	105 ± 5	30 ± 5
3 denuder in series	15.0	45.0	450	0.2	None	0.6 ± 0.4	<0.1
2 denuder in series	15.0	45.0	450	0.2	5	1.9 ± 0.4	-

5 Table 2: Experimental details for the determination of collection efficiency for denuders coated with 7.5 and 15.0 mmol/L EP coating

Table 3: Comparison of simultaneously test gas sampling of EP coated denuders and Raschig Tubes for gaseous HBr10determination. The setup is shown in Fig. 1b.

	De	nuder	Raschig		
Experiment	Sampled amount of	Calculated HBr in	Sampled amount of	Calculated HBr in	
	HBr [nmol]	sampled test gas [ppb]	HBr [nmol]	sampled test gas [ppb]	
1	1.8 ± 0.6	3.6 ± 1.6	26.0 ± 3.6	4.1 ± 0.6	
2	2.3 ± 0.7	5.8 ± 2.2	28.1 ± 3.9	5.1 ± 0.7	
3	3.0 ± 0.4	7.7 ± 1.2	41.6 ± 5.3	7.4 ± 1.0	
4	4.8 ± 0.7	14.4 ± 2.3	83.0 ± 11.7	16.8 ± 2.4	
5	7.6 ± 0.7	18.5 ± 1.8	111.7 ± 14.7	17.9 ± 2.4	

Table 4: Results of denuder measurements sampled in Masaya's plume on three days in July 2016. Sampling has been performed at three different locations with the following distances to the emission source: Santiago Rim 215 ± 50 m, Nindiri Rim 740 ± 50 m and in the Caldera Valley 2000 ± 150 m (Fig. 3b). Total Bromine has been determined by simultaneously applied Raschig Tubes (details

15 in Rüdiger et al. 2021). HBr concentrations (in ppb) were determined by EP-coated denuders. Their respective LOD and LOQ were calculated based on the signal-to-noise approach using 3- and 10-times the standard deviation of the blank samples (n=3). The Raschig bias is the calculated differences obtained from the line equation of the orthogonal distance regression. The determined amount of HBr (in nmol) is given for comparison with lab experiments.

Date	Total Br*	HBr	LOD	LOO	Raschig	HBr on	HBr/	Comment
2 4.0	10000 21		202	202	bias	denuder	total Br	
	[ppb]	[ppb]	[ppb]	[ppb]	[ppb]	[nmol]	[%]	
18.07.2016								
Santiago Rim	1.85 ± 0.04	1.65 ± 0.05	0.04	0.12	- 0.13	1.42 ± 0.04	89	
Nindiri Rim	1.31 ± 0.03	0.44 ± 0.03	0.02	0.06	- 0.17	0.38 ± 0.02	34	
20.07.2016		•				•		
Santiago Rim	1.55 ± 0.03	1.14 ± 0.05	0.07	0.24	- 0.15	0.92 ± 0.04	74	
Nindiri Rim	1.22 ± 0.03	0.55 ± 0.05	0.09	0.29	- 0.17	0.45 ± 0.04	45	
Caldera	Not	<lod< td=""><td>1.39</td><td>3.99</td><td></td><td>0.03 ± 0.01</td><td></td><td>UAV-based</td></lod<>	1.39	3.99		0.03 ± 0.01		UAV-based
Valley	available	<lod< td=""><td>1.46</td><td>3.81</td><td></td><td>0.02 ± 0.01</td><td></td><td>sampling</td></lod<>	1.46	3.81		0.02 ± 0.01		sampling
21.07.2016		•				•		
Santiago Rim	3.05 ± 0.05	1.97 ± 0.11	0.08	0.27	- 0.12	1.53 ± 0.09	65	Simultanoous
		1.82 ± 0.10	0.06	0.26	- 0.13	1.42 ± 0.08	60	Simultaneous
	1.81 ± 0.04	0.55 ± 0.05	0.05	0.15	- 0.17	0.58 ± 0.05	30	
Nindiri Rim	2.56 ± 0.06	1.17 ± 0.07	0.07	0.23	- 0.15	0.91 ± 0.05	46	Simultaneous
		0.97 ± 0.09	0.09	0.30	- 0.16	0.75 ± 0.07	38	
* Total Bromine determined by Raschig Tube samples adopted from Rüdiger et al. (2021)								

20 Table S 1: GC-temperature programs

Heating rate [°C min ⁻¹]	End temperature [°C]	Holding [min]	Duration [min]				
Program A, analysis of 1,2-epoxycyclooctane coated denuders							
	90	3.00	3.00				
38	210	0.00	6.16				
9.5	235	0.00	8.79				
30	250	min. 2	min. 11.29				
Program B, analysis of 9,10-epoxystearic acid-coated denuders							
	120	0.50	0.50				
38	250	0.00	3.92				
10	300	0.00	8.92				
program C, analysis of trans-oxirane-2,3-dicarboxylic acid and 3-Phenyloxirane-2-carboxylic acid coated denuders.							
	90	3.00	3.00				
18	150	3.00	9.33				
25	250	17.00	30.33				