Comments:

This manuscript aimed to study SOA formation from furan under photooxidation conditions with varied NOx and RH. SOA mass, O3 concentration, and SOA composition were carefully measured. The authors concluded that furan photooxidation is dominated by RO2 + NO chemistry that leads to formation of carbonyl-rich products. SOA formation was found to enhance under higher relative humidity and higher VOC/NOx concentration. The results are clearly presented, but there are a few important issues that need to be addressed before becoming publishable. My major comment is that the manuscript did not provide sufficient chemistry insights given the suite of instruments used.

Major:

1. The authors claims that the O3 maximum concentration decreases with increasing RH likely due to that high RH favors the partitioning of the NOx reservoir, RNO2 and RONO2 into the particle phase. Thus the release of NOx is limited. However, it is unclear how much more pronounced is the RNO2 and RONO2 uptake at higher RH and whether this enhancement is sufficient to affect O3 formation. These species need to be very soluble to show distinct partitioning behavior under different RH. What are their possible structures and could the Henry's law constants be estimated? Were any RNO2 or RONO2 species enhanced in the SOA samples under higher RH? From the ESI-MS results, the authors said that the SOA composition is similar between higher RH and low RH. Does this contradict what the authors concluded earlier? You have a max SOA yield of 5% and only a small fraction of that is RNO2 or RONO2. Thus are they important enough to affect gas-phase NOx concentration? Evidence is needed. Alternatively, could wall loss of the RNO2 and RONO2 under high RH better explain the observation?

2. The ESI-MS results presented grouped ions and observed m/z 200-299 as the most abundant group. What does this mean? What are the major chemical formulas observed in this range? I think a lot more discussion of the ESI-MS results could be included here. For example:

(1) Could the authors group all nitrogen-containing species and discuss their presence?

(2) What are the ranges of O:C ratio and oxidation state?

(3) Any variation as the furan/NOx and RH changed?

(4) For soluble species uptaking onto aqueous particles, they will likely oligomerize. Do the authors see oligomers in the ESI-MS?

(5) There is a substantial decrease of large molecules under lower furan/NOx ratio and higher RH in the negative ion mode (but not in the positive ion mode). What does that suggest?

(6) what is the ion in the negative ion mode around m/z 380?

In the current form, there is very little discussion (page 11, line 16-24, page 12, line 2-7, and page 13, line 8-11).

3. The observation of enhanced SOA formation with increased NO is interesting, because most previous work have shown that increase of NO tend to promote RO2+NO chemistry which lead to fragmentation. Why are SOA yields higher with higher NO in the case of furan photooxidation? The authors gave these possibilities in the text, but did not further conclude it based on the mechanism. Is this because furan is a cyclic compound and the fragmentation does not break the

C4 backbone? Or were OH concentrations very different between different furan/NOx experiments (as in the cases for the two referred studies)? Or both?

4. The discussion of the RH effect on SOA using the mass spectral data was very vague. The authors only discussed two ions, m/z 187 and m/z 255. First, it seems the m/z 187 ion is C4H4O4Cl2 from high-resolution fitting. But how is it formed? The authors proposed Cl adduct for the major ions m/z 187, 255, and 281. It is probabaly the case for m/z 255 and 281, since they contain nitrogen and have only one Cl on the ion. But m/z 187 is probably not. The C4H4O4 compound does not exist in Scheme 1. The compound D is C4H4O3. How does it form C4H4O4 in the mechanism? Further, for the m/z 255 ion, the formulas provided in Figure 6 is incorrect. [C4H4O4]³⁵Cl2- has an exact mass of 185.949; [C4HO9N2]³⁵Cl- has an exact mass of 280.994. The authors need to make sure the chemical formula assignments are correct before discussing the mechanism.

Minor and technical comments:

1. Abstract: The abstract should deliver the most important scientific findings. It is unnecessary to describe how the measurements were performed in the abstract (e.g., how particle mass concentration and size distribution were determined). Additionally, "the SOA mass concentration and yield increase with increasing humidity, because higher aerosol liquid water content brings more aqueous phase reactions" does not make sense. More aqueous phase reactions do not mean higher product yield.

2. Second sentence in the introduction puts different categories together. Remove black carbon and brown carbon.

3. Page 2, line 1. Change volatile organic compound to VOC.

4. In the introduction, some statements about cited studies are incorrect. For example, page 2, line 3-4, the Chan et al. (2010) study demonstrated that isoprene SOA formed under high NO2/NO is large, not under high-NOx conditions; the Zhang et al. (2012) as well as the Zhang et al. 2011 studies show different compositions under dry and wet conditions.

5. Page 10, line 10. What is C4H4O4Cl? Does the authors have a prediction of the possible structure? How does the NaCl interacts with the organic components in SOA to form this species? On page 11, line 24, some discussion was made, but it is still unclear how "Cl adduction of SOA product" happens.

6. Page 10, line 13. The carboxylic acids may not generate enough acidity to catalyze reactions as the authors mentioned, unless the authors could provide evidence.

7. Page 12, line 28. The authors said "it is highly probable that the particle surface area increases with the amount of ALW increasing". Could the SMPS measurements provide quantitative evidence?

8. Page 13, line 4. Under high RH, the acidity, if any, is likely lower due to water dilution.