

Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., referee comment RC2  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2021-31-RC2>, 2021  
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## **Comment on wes-2021-31**

Anonymous Referee #2

---

Referee comment on "The smoother the better? A comparison of six post-processing methods to improve short-term offshore wind power forecasts in the Baltic Sea" by Christoffer Hallgren et al., Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2021-31-RC2>, 2021

---

Congratulations to an extremely well written, perfectly edited, and well laid-out paper! It was a joy reading a manuscript that appeared to be ready for publication just as is. The authors discussed how 6 post-processing methods improve (or not) wind speed and power forecasts in the Baltic Sea. The conclusions are interesting and relevant to forecast users and providers, and many instructions are given that help anyone repeat the study or parts of it. Since the study only looks at one site, it would indeed be interesting to see how the 6 post-processing techniques perform at many sites of different complexity, which can be (as the authors say) subject of future work.

I have only a few minor comments:

Line 115: I might have missed it, but please spell out PCHIP at first use

Table 1: Please indicate in the caption what the differences between the two columns are.

Line 360: no ( ) for Hallgren et al., 2020.

Thank you again for all your work you put into this manuscript before submitting it! This paper can serve as a reference of how it should be done.