

Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., community comment CC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2021-13-CC1>, 2021  
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## **Comment on wes-2021-13**

Stefan Emeis

---

Community comment on "Application of the Townsend-George theory for free shear flows to single and double wind turbine wakes - a wind tunnel study" by Ingrid Neunaber et al., Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2021-13-CC1>, 2021

---

The manuscript by Neunaber et al. investigates the wakes of one and two turbines in a wind tunnel study. Unfortunately, the title and the abstract tell a completely different story. The wind tunnel is not mentioned at all in the abstract. In this title and abstract are completely misleading.

This is a pity, because the idea conveyed in the abstract is interesting as well. There exists a large body of literature on turbulent wakes behind flow obstacles since decades. To use part of this information for today's wind turbine wake research is desirable and also challenging. But, unfortunately, this does not seem to be the major topic of this manuscript. It only presents a comparison of one of these older wake theories with a wind tunnel study.

Sentence number 4 of the abstract reads " However, although wind turbine wakes have been subject to various studies, they are still not fully understood." But no references are given. There have been large field experiments in recent years in order to learn about wakes behind larger offshore wind turbine arrays (the title of this manuscript says that this is a study on wakes of wind turbine arrays!). E.g., Platis et al. (2000) give an overview on what was achieved in the offshore wind farm wake experiment WIPAFF in the North Sea where aircraft conducted in situ measurements within the farm wakes. A general overview on onshore and offshore wind turbine wake experiments could be obtained from Sun et al. (2020).

Also not mentioned are modelling studies, e.g., those by Fitch et al. (2012) or Volker et al. (2015). The experiments mentioned before and the model simulations fit together in many aspects. I.e., quite a lot has been learned about wind turbine wakes in recent years.

I therefore would like to suggest a major revision of this manuscript. It could turn out to become a highly interesting paper in the end covering a highly up-to-date subject in renewable energy research.

### References

Fitch, A. C., Olson, J. B., Lundquist, J. K., Dudhia, J., Gupta, A. K., Michalakes, J., & Barstad, I. (2012). Local and mesoscale impacts of wind farms as parameterized in a

mesoscale NWP model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 140, 3017-3038.

Platis, A., Bange, J., Bärfuss, K., Cañadillas, B., Hundhausen, M., Djath, B., ... & Emeis, S. (2020). Long-range modifications of the wind field by offshore wind parks—results of the project WIPAFF. *Meteorol. Z.*, 29, 355-376. DOI: 10.1127/metz/2020/1023

Sun, H., Gao, X., & Yang, H. (2020). A review of full-scale wind-field measurements of the wind-turbine wake effect and a measurement of the wake-interaction effect. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, 132, 110042. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.110042

Volker, P. J. H., Badger, J., Hahmann, A. N., & Ott, S. (2015). The Explicit Wake Parametrisation V1. 0: a wind farm parametrisation in the mesoscale model WRF. *Geosci. Model Devel.*, 8, 3715-3731.