

The Cryosphere Discuss., referee comment RC2 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2021-92-RC2, 2021 © Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## **Review report**

Anonymous Referee #2

Referee comment on "Impacts of the photo-driven post-depositional processing on snow nitrate and its isotopes at Summit, Greenland: a model-based study" by Zhuang Jiang et al., The Cryosphere Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2021-92-RC2, 2021

Jiang et al. revisited previously published Summit snowpack nitrate isotope data using a snow photochemical column model (TRANSITS). It was found that post-depositional processes at Summit can explain the observed seasonal variability of d15N without considering the source variability of reactive nitrogen species. Although the modeling result may be further tested and improved by observation-based parameterizations of some parameters such as d15N of atmospheric nitrate, this work challenges previous expectations and highlights that post-depositional processes on isotopic compositions of cryospheric nitrate at a site with relatively high snow accumulation rates like Summit still should be carefully considered in the future. The model is scientifically sound and its uncertainties are well discussed. I expect that the findings will stimulate more studies. I recommend its publication in The Cryosphere and only have some minor suggestions to improve the clarity of this manuscript.

Lines 30-35: I would suggest the authors to introduce d15N as well because d15N is the major focus of this study.

Line 49: As stated later by the authors, "the degree of post-depositional processing and the induced effects on snow nitrate and isotopes vary site by site" (Line 56). The values presented here seem too precise to represent the fractionation factors "under typical polar conditions".



