

Interactive comment on “The role of grain-size evolution on the rheology of ice: Implications for reconciling laboratory creep data and the Glen flow law” by Mark D. Behn et al.

Paul D. Bons

paul.bons@uni-tuebingen.de

Received and published: 22 November 2020

Behn et al. provide an interesting model to reconcile apparently contradictory laboratory creep data from ice samples and Glen’s power law for glacier and ice-sheet flow. For the latter, a stress exponent of $n=3$ is usually assumed, as the authors correctly state. A stress exponent of $n\approx 3$ is actually also reported for many experiments (see for example Table 1 in Weertman, 1983). However, Goldsby and Kohlstedt (2001) and Goldsby (2006) presented experimental results that indicate that the stress exponent can achieve different values depending on the active deformation mechanism, with $n=1.8$ for grain boundary sliding and $n=4$ for dislocation creep. Glen (1955) actually

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



already noted that under natural conditions n should be higher than his experimentally determined $n \approx 3.2$. The main point of this comment is that a discrepancy between the stress exponent in nature and experiment may not exist. In a recent study, Bons et al. (2018) used data from a large area covering the northern part of the Greenland Ice Sheet and obtained a value of $n \approx 4$, which is consistent with dislocation glide according to experimental data by Goldsby and Kohlstedt (2001) and Goldsby (2006). There are only a few such studies that actually attempted to determine the stress exponent directly from ice sheets or glaciers. Instead, Glen's law with $n=3$ is usually assumed uncritically and other parameters, such as basal friction coefficients are derived instead. Behn et al. should therefore also consider the literature that suggests the possibility that $n \approx 4$ actually best describes the bulk rheology of ice sheets. The authors may also wish to consider the paper by Roessiger et al. (2014), which points out that the grain growth constant (K_{gg} in Eq. 3) is not a constant, but depends on the microstructure. This has two relevant consequences for the paper under consideration. First, when the microstructure changes during an experiment, the resulting grain growth exponent (p in Eq. 2) is probably wrong if it is derived with the assumption that K_{gg} is a constant. Second, it can be assumed that the microstructure is a function of the relative contribution of dislocation creep ($n \approx 4$) and grain boundary sliding ($n \approx 1.8$). If so, K_{gg} will depend on that relative contribution. Taking the above into consideration would make the paper by Behn et al. much more interesting and worthwhile.

Paul Bons, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Germany

References

Bons, P. D., Kleiner, T., Llorens, M. G., Prior, D.J., Sachau, T., Weikusat, I. Jansen, D.: Greenland Ice Sheet – Higher non-linearity of ice flow significantly reduces estimated basal motion. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 6542-6548. doi:10.1029/2018GL078356, 2018.

Glen, J. W.: The creep of polycrystalline ice, *Proceedings of the Royal Academy of*

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

London Series A, 228(1175), 519–538, doi:10.1098/rspa.1955.0066, 1955.

Goldsby, D. L.: Superplastic Flow of Ice Relevant to Glacier and Ice-Sheet Mechanics, in *Glacier Science and Environmental Change*, edited by P. G. Knight, pp. 308–314, Blackwell Publishing, Malden, MA, USA., 2006.

Goldsby, D. L. and Kohlstedt, D.: Superplasticdeformation of ice: Experimental observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(B6), 11,017–11,030, doi:10.1029/2000JB900336, 2001.

Roessiger, J., Bons, P. D., Faria, S. H.: Influence of bubbles on grain growth in ice, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 61, 123-132. doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2012.11.00, 2014.

Weertman, J.: Creep deformation of ice, *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, doi:10.1146/annurev.ea.11.050183.001243, 1983.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2020-295>, 2020.

TCD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

