



Reply on RC4

Brieuc Hardy et al.

Author comment on "Thermal signature and quantification of charcoal in soil by differential scanning calorimetry and BPCA markers" by Brieuc Hardy et al., SOIL Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2021-146-AC4>, 2022

Figure 1. Y axis unit inconsistent with the other figures

Authors: On Figure 1 we have the "by default" layout of the Universal Analysis 2000 software that was used to calculate indicators from the curves. On the other figures you have "clean" curves after removal of the baseline, etc... We think that this is not an issue in the present form.

AB: Minor detail I guess: I was referring to W/g vs. W g⁻¹

Authors: Ok thank you we will adapt

Regarding Answers to 59, Charcoal >1mm (135), 350

AB: I really enjoyed reading all of your answers. I would highly encourage you to include at least some of the information you give in your answers in the revised version of the manuscript (maybe in a condensed form). Especially your additional reasoning as to why the calibration using >1mm charcoal pieces seems to be valid.

Authors: Thank you for this nice feedback. We will consider including some extra information detailed in the discussion in the revised version.