

## Reply on EC2

Ling Sun and Jinggui Wu

---

Author comment on "Combined application of animal manure and straw benefit soil fauna community in dryland farming" by Ling Sun and Jinggui Wu, SOIL Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2021-132-AC3>, 2022

---

Thank you for your submission the SOIL-D: "Combined application of animal manure and straw benefit soil fauna community in dryland farming." Two peer reviewers have provided feedback in agreement that the manuscript has scientific merit, but there are major underlying concerns.

- The most major of these is clarification around the litter bag approach. This approach provides limits in how these data are interpreted and their significance for understanding soil invertebrate communities in active agricultural fields.

**Answer:** In the study, the soil invertebrates' communities are the ones inside the nylon bags, representative of the invertebrates' community by selected colonisation of the organisms that inhabit the surrounding soil (exchange/movement with the surrounding environment through the 2 mm mesh).

- There is also concern around how taxa from different taxonomic levels (e.g. sub-phyla, family, genus) are presented throughout the paper. In addition, further clarification around how richness, diversity, and abundance are interpreted from the data would strengthen the manuscript. These are major concerns and require extensive revisions, including rewriting sections and rebuilding tables and figures.

**Answer:** these have been corrected.

Fauna description			S	SO	SC	SP
Order	Family	Genus	Individual Dominances (%)		Individual Dominances (%)	

Araneae	243	+++	382	+++
Astigmata	272	+++	244	++
Actinedida	215	++	133	++
Oribatida	638	+++	1013	+++
Homoptera Aphididae	0		2	+
Hymenoptera Formicidae	1	□	6	□
Diptera Tipulidae	3	□	0	□

Scutigere lidae		0		4	+
Tubificida idae		3	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	<input type="checkbox"/>
Coleoptera Carabidae		1	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	<input type="checkbox"/>
Staphilini dae	1	<input type="checkbox"/>		2	<input type="checkbox"/>
Staphylini dae	1	<input type="checkbox"/>		7	<input type="checkbox"/>
Symphyla eona					
Sminthurini dae		0		0	
<i>Sminthurus</i>					
Poduromorpha Onychiurini dae		1	<input type="checkbox"/>	13	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Onychiurus</i>					

Neanurid ae	<i>Protanura</i>	5	□	3	□	
<i>Neanura</i>		8	□	6	□	2
Hypogast ruridae	<i>Hypogast rura</i>	9	□	32	□+	
Entomobr yomorphae	Isotomida <i>Proisotom a</i>		0		4	+
<i>Isotoma</i>		17	□	33	++	25
<i>Folsomia</i>		278	+++	172	++	602
<i>Desoria</i>		301	+++	409	+++	391

Entomobr yidae	<i>Lepidocyrtus</i>	0		17	+	
<i>Entomobrya</i>		156	++	157	++	294
Morpho- richness			18		21	
Total Individual			2153		2643	

- In addition, both reviewers provide extensive comments and questions within annotated pdfs of the original submission

**Answer:** these have been corrected.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://soil.copernicus.org/preprints/soil-2021-132/soil-2021-132-AC3-supplement.pdf>