

Interactive comment on “Soil Aggregate Stability of Forest Islands and Adjacent Ecosystems in West Africa” by Amelie Baomalgré Bougma et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 29 April 2020

The scope the submitted manuscript ‘Soil Aggregate Stability of Forest Islands and Adjacent Ecosystems in West Africa’ is interesting as it has a great ecological significance. Introduction and research methodology is well described. However the manuscript significantly needs a critical attention especially the results-discussion section. Below are the key comments to authors.

a). Major Comments:

1. In current study, did soil depth affect aggregate stability across sampling sites (zones)? This important information regarding depth effect on key soil indices is missing in current results and discussion section. For instance, the effect of land-use and mean annual precipitation on aggregate fractions has been depicted for only topsoil (0-5 cm) but why there is no information for second sampling (5-10 cm) depth (Figure

2 and Figure 3)?

2. Despite of the fact, physical fractionation of bulk soil was performed in current study, why the interaction of Organic carbon with only soil macro-aggregate is given in Figure 3? What about micro & meso aggregates, envisaged in this study?

3. Besides land use, was there any influence of soil type on aggregate stability across sampled sites?

4. Why the (depth wise) values for organic carbon, total nitrogen content, C: N ratio, bulk density, Cation exchange capacity (CEC) and pH of (bulk and fractionated) soil of sampling sites are not provided? It would have been more interesting if the C, N content of envisaged micro, meso and macro aggregates were also presented to get clear understanding which fraction sequestered more C content versus bulk soil under different land use systems!

5. Fe content was measured in this study and also inferred as key determinant of aggregate stability among sampling sites (regions) but why its impact on aggregate stability with respect to land use is discussed scarcely in current manuscript?

6. At the end of this study, it is still unclear that what are the (ecological) implications if soil micro aggregate fraction remained unaffected despite of land use change but only soil macro aggregates were affected (Line 227-229)! Does it mean micro aggregates are resistant to land use change? What should be inferred from this phenomenon particularly in the context of climate change? Please also state which aggregate fraction was (ecologically) significant in this study?

b). Minor comments:

- Contrary to results section (stated content wise), why the discussion section lacks of respective captions rather discussed holistically?

- The role of soil organic matter and organic carbon in aggregate stability has been redundantly discussed i.e. Line 187-191 and again in Line 203-208. Please check this.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Line 27: 'Water sieving method' should be corrected as 'wet sieving method'

Line 66: Which type of cultivation i.e. tillage type (conventional, reduced etc.) was applied to agricultural (AF) plots and up to what depth? Because tillage intensity greatly affects structural stability of soil aggregates.

Line 69: Please state the date of soil sampling for the respective sampling locations

Line 71 (& 66): Instead of stating 'at least', kindly state exact number of samples taken (Line 71) and duration of cultivation (Line 66).

Line 183: Please briefly elaborate what 'wet aggregates' means here!

Line 175-186: Please check the paragraph spacing

Line 209-215: Please check the paragraph spacing

Line 195-198: Please discuss current results especially of AF fields in the context of plowing intensity

Line 197: Please briefly elaborate the extent of 'frequent plowing'! Does it refer to tillage intensity here!

Line 200-203: It is proved, well understood that conversion of forests to arable lands affects micro-biochemical indices of soil then what this study particularly unveils new for us?

Line 227: Were the differences among envisaged land use systems non-significant? What presumably lead to lack of land use change impact on soil micro aggregate stability especially among FI and AF land use systems?

Line 227: Does the phenomena of 'systematically increase' here means 'exponential increase'? Please briefly elaborate this.

Table 1 has not been cited at all in current manuscript. To which section it belongs!

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

