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Kai Wan Yuen et al.

We thank the reviewer for this review especially under these current circumstances.

As mentioned in our previous response to RC2, we agree that the data falls short of a more quantitative analyses expected by the reviewers. We may be able to source for information related to the growing stage of the crop based on IRRI's rice calendar for the region, but we would have to check the resolution of this dataset and if it is more of a national or sub-national dataset.

Could the reviewer clarify what they mean by 'trajectory data'? Thank you.