Reply on RC1
Lorenzo Cugliari et al.

Author comment on "Tsunami risk perception in central and southern Italy" by Lorenzo Cugliari et al., Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2022-212-AC1, 2022

Question 1- I cannot understand "capillary information"(Line 694). If you intend "evacuation information", that makes sense.

Question 2- UNESCO-IOC-ITIC have recommended such tsunami education technical words "evacuation" routes, not "escape" routes (Line 789). It is better for you to follow the tsunami technical words in this paper (see following URL: http://itic.ioc-unesco.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=category&id=1406&Itemid=2280).

Thank you for the note.
We rewrite the sentence in this way:

Answer 1-

Line 694 - "This suggests that a strong effort is needed for institutional parties to fill this gap, through widespread and comprehensive dissemination of information (including info on evacuation routes and procedures) by using state-of-the-art communication channels."

Answer 2-

Line 789 - "Providing information panels along the beaches and in the harbors, indicating evacuation routes and meeting points, establishing redundant alarm systems, are the main tools to be put in place to reduce the tsunami risk of both residents and tourists."