I do like the revised version of the paper. The novelty and usefulness of the described approach is more clear now. I did not see that so clearly in the previous version, which might be a) my fault as being ignorant or b) the new version is a significant improvement or c) both. I do like the heatmaps and I think they are useful as is the new experiment in Haiti.

I only have a few minor comments:

Line 48: Delete 'freely available' because the statement you make hear does not depend on the free availability of the data.

Line 60: "...in densely vegetated mountainous areas where landslides tend to occur." I don't think this statement is correct, as many landslides also occur in not densely vegetated areas. I even believe that vegetated areas have potentially less landslides.

Line 119: "...the dominant scattering is away from the satellite..." - I suggest to delete this statement. It is unnecessary here and probably not true in all cases -> dominant scattering might be back to the satellite but very weak or, even more likely, there is no dominant scattering direction.

Line 271: I think EOI was not introduced before