

Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., author comment AC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2021-268-AC2>, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Reply on RC2

Faith Ka Shun Chan et al.

Author comment on "Comparison of Sustainable Flood Risk Management by four countries: the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, the United States, & Japan, and the implications for Asian coastal megacities" by Faith Ka Shun Chan et al., Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2021-268-AC2>, 2021

Reviewer 2 (R2)

Comments:

R2: This is an interesting and important paper examining the four case studies on how they cope with flooding hopefully with transferrable best practices to Asian Megacities. The paper is detailed and well written but needs to do an overall edit in terms of language and spelling accuracy. There are some terms used in this paper which needs further clarifications and evidence.

The methods are sound. Comparing and contrasting the four cases made this a very rich discussion but some sections/ statements need more evidence or clarity.

In addition, more could be devoted to the best practices that could be transferred to the Asian context- which is distinct from the chosen cases in terms of geography and political and social structures.

Overall a good paper with a bit more work on the transferable practices and overall edit in terms of clarity and language would make this an excellent paper to be published in the journal.

FC and XL Responses:

Thanks for the positive comments and truly appreciated them, we will address all grammatical and provide overall edits in terms of clarity and improve the language issue to make our manuscript to be better in the revised version.

Thanks for the comment and truly appreciated it.