

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., author comment AC1
<https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2021-332-AC1>, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Reply on RC1

Chuanfa Chen et al.

Author comment on "Easy-to-use spatial Random Forest-based downscaling-calibration method for producing precipitation data with high resolution and high accuracy" by Chuanfa Chen et al., Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2021-332-AC1>, 2021

Dear Prof. Priscilla Minotti,

Thanks for your comments on our paper. Detailed comments and responses are as follows.

The paper is well designed, nicely written, and presents a novel way to downscale precipitation data by combining semivariogram modeling into spatial random forest with well-known precipitation predictors. Although I consider the paper can be published "as is", I have suggestions for the authors:

[1] The proposed method could be given a name.

[Reply 1]

The proposed method is an easy-to-use spatial Random Forest-based downscaling-calibration method. Thus, it will be termed as SRF-DC in the revised paper.

[2] In 5.5. Further Research, the authors could also address some of the following:

[2.1] the upscaling from 1 km to the 10 km IMERG grid was done by pixel averaging. If some other aggregation stats were used (eg. median, max, mode), would the performance of some of the environmental predictors improve (eg. aspect)?

[Reply 2.1]

In the revised paper, the performance of pixel averaging on the environmental predictors will be compared with those of other aggregation stats (eg. median, max, mode) in the framework of SRF-DC, and the best results will be retained.

[2.2] Some other predictors could also be included, such as position based on metric distances instead of latitude-longitude, EVI (with is better related to water content in plants or soil than NDVI), wind or atmospheric pressure features.

[Reply 2.2]

--The predictor of position based on metric distances instead of latitude-longitude is not used in our method. The reasons are as follows:

"Since the classical RF does not consider the spatial information in the modeling process, Hengl et al. (2018) proposed an improved RF for spatial estimation, where the buffer distances from the point-based measurements were taken as the predictors. Motivated by this idea, Baez-Villanueva et al. (2020) presented a RF-based method (RF-MEP) for merging satellite precipitation products and rain gauge measurements, where the spatial distances from all rain gauges to the grid cells in the study site were used as the variables. RF-MEP performed better than all precipitation products and some merging methods. However, as stated by Baez-Villanueva et al. (2020), RF-MEP has a huge computational cost, since the number of extra input features equals to that of gauge measurements. Moreover, RF-MEP ignored the spatial autocorrelation between the gauge measurements. In comparison, SRF-DC only requires one extra feature that is estimated by kriging interpolation on the precipitation measurements. Compared to the buffer distance layers, it is much more computationally effective. Moreover, with the variogram-based kriging interpolation, the spatial autocorrelations between the gauge measurements and between the estimated precipitation and gauge measurements are taken into account. Thus, the aforementioned features make our method accurate, effective and easy-to-use."

The above information can be found in the Discussion.

--In the revised paper, the performance of EVI-based SRF-DC will be compared with that of NDVI-based one, and the best one will be shown.

--The predictors including wind or atmospheric pressure features were not used in our method, since the highest resolution of the publicly available dataset is 0.1°. The environmental factors should have the resolution of 1 km, since we want to downscale IMERG from 0.1° to 1 km, and the 1 km environmental factors are taken as the input for the trained SRF.

[2.3] The proposed method could be transferred to use with CHIRPS or TRMM data in other parts of the world, particularly in large tracts of South America, which have complex topography and sparse gauging stations.

[Reply]

Yes. As stated in the last paragraph of our method, "Overall, the proposed methodology is general, robust, accurate and easy-to-use, since its promising performance in the study area with an obvious heterogeneity in terrain morphology and precipitation. Thus, it can be easily applied to other regions, where high resolution and accurate precipitation data is urgently required."

References

Baez-Villanueva, O.M., Zambrano-Bigiarini, M., Beck, H.E., McNamara, I., Ribbe, L., Nauditt, A., Birkel, C., Verbist, K., Giraldo-Osorio, J.D., Xuan Thinh, N. (2020) RF-MEP: A novel Random Forest method for merging gridded precipitation products and ground-based measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 239:111606

Hengl, T., Nussbaum, M., Wright, M.N., Heuvelink, G.B., Gräler, B.J.P. (2018) Random forest as a generic framework for predictive modeling of spatial and spatio-temporal variables. *PeerJ* 6:e5518

Best wishes,

Chuanfa Chen

Baojian Hu

Yanyan Li