

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., chief editor comment CEC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-96-CEC1>, 2022  
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## Comment on gmd-2022-96

Juan Antonio Añel

---

Chief editor comment on "Pixel-level parameter optimization of a terrestrial biosphere model for improving estimation of carbon fluxes with an efficient model–data fusion method and satellite-derived LAI and GPP data" by Rui Ma et al., Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-96-CEC1>, 2022

---

Dear authors,

After checking your manuscript, it has come to our attention that it does not comply with our "Code and Data Policy".

[https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/policies/code\\_and\\_data\\_policy.html](https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/policies/code_and_data_policy.html)

You have archived your code on GitHub. However, GitHub is not a suitable repository.

GitHub itself instructs authors to use other alternatives for long-term archival and publishing, such as Zenodo. Admittedly, the Topical Editor made a mistake when in previous communication with you, suggested GitHub as an option. Our apologies for this mistake.

Therefore, please, publish your code in one of the appropriate repositories, and include the relevant primary input/output data. In this way, you must include in a potential reviewed version of your manuscript the modified 'Code and Data Availability' section, the DOI of the code (and another DOI for the dataset if necessary).

Also, both the README.txt in the GitHub repository and the "Code and Data policy" section in your manuscript continue suggesting other repositories beyond the requested one. The role of such section and repository is not to promote your preferred webpage, the web of a project or link to the newest version of a model but assure the replicability of your work. In this way, all the information that is not the link and DOI to the repository with the exact code and data used in your work only adds confusion, making it more complicated for editors, reviewers and readers to reach the right assets. Therefore, please, both in the final repository and the "Code and Data Availability" section remove the links to servers that are not the permanent ones that our policy requests (oml.gov and ed.ac.uk).

Additionally, there is no license listed in the GitHub repository. If you do not include a license, despite what you state in the README file or the policy in other servers, the code is not free to be used by a third party; it continues to be your property. Therefore, when uploading the model's code to Zenodo, you could want to choose a free software/open-source (FLOSS) license. We recommend the GPLv3. You only need to include the file '<https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.txt>' as LICENSE.txt with your code. Also, you can choose other options that Zenodo provides: GPLv2, Apache License, MIT License, etc.

Juan A. Añel

Geosci. Model Dev. Exec. Editor