

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., chief editor comment CEC1 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-159-CEC1, 2022 © Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on gmd-2022-159

Astrid Kerkweg

Chief editor comment on "Monthly-scale extended predictions using the atmospheric model coupled with a slab ocean" by Zhenming Wang et al., Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-159-CEC1, 2022

Dear authors,

in my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.2: https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/12/2215/2019/

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section: http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."
- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of a new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)"."

So WRF-SOM and the version numbers of WRF and SOM should find their place in the title of the article. Please add this change when submitting the revised version of the manuscript.

Yours, Astrid Kerkweg