

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., chief editor comment CEC1
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-441-CEC1>, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on gmd-2021-441

Juan Antonio Añel

Chief editor comment on "Formulation of a new explicit tidal scheme in revised LICOM2.0"
by Jiangbo Jin et al., Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-441-CEC1>, 2022

Dear authors,

After checking your manuscript, it has come to our attention that it does not comply with our Manuscript Guidelines and our Code and Data Policy.
https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/about/manuscript_types.html#item2
https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/policies/code_and_data_policy.html

First, your manuscript is a 'Development and technical paper'. In this way, you must include the name of the model and its version in the title. This is clear in our editorial guidelines.

The data availability section: This section currently cites three data sources. However, these sources are papers, not data files, datasets, etc. This is not exactly the kind of information we would like to see there. If you are simply taking numbers from the papers (for example, from tables), you should cite them in the text, but this does not constitute the data of the manuscript. If you use data files or databases used in such papers, you should cite the original files that "ideally" must be deposited in one of the permanent repositories that we list in our Code and Data policy, with a DOI. Suppose the files are available on the web from an institution or similar. In that case, we need to judge if we consider it enough to comply with our policy and the principles of scientific reproducibility. Also, the kind of data that we would like to see cited here is a permanent repository with DOI, with the output files from the experiments.

About the code of your model: I am aware that you have discussed this with the topical editor Dr. Farneti. You have said that you do not have a web page for the model. This is not a reason not to share the code. We need good evidence that you are forbidden to share the code and that it is not on your hands. It is hard to know how we can evaluate a model without seeing the code referred to in the paper. And you must share with us a copy of the code you are using for your manuscript and the license that should sign anyone wanting to use it. Also, I have understood from your exchanges with Dr. Farneti that you can deny sharing it at your discretion despite signing the license. We also need to clarify this, as the obvious consequence is that you could end up not releasing the code to anyone despite you receiving requests for it.

Please, note that you must clarify all these issues and reply satisfactorily to this request to

consider your paper for publication. Also, all these issues should be addressed and solved in a potential reviewed version of your manuscript.

Regards,

Dr. Juan A. Añel

Geosc. Mod. Dev. Executive Editor