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The paper is summarizing an important effort to simulate the impact of climate change on lakes using an ensemble of lake models and climate change scenarios. The paper is well-written and suitable for GMD. However, the presentation can still be improved following the minor revisions below.

Comments:

- In general, can you maybe also comment on the computational cost and the size of the datasets somewhere? If those simulations are cheap, you should also state this somewhere.
- It is a bit difficult to understand whether the paper is summarizing results for ISMIP 1, 2, 3 or all from the abstract. This should be stated explicitly, early in the paper.
- P8L5: “with the aim of conducting innovative science” should be rephrased.
- P8L14: “were simulated for existing lakes in the local domain and “representative” lakes” is rather cryptic and should be rephrased.
- P8L20: “for this first phase of lake sector simulations” I thought this would be about ISIMIP 2 and 3?
- P9L18: “is expanded”, can you be more specific?
- P9L24: 0.5/0.5: You are using different ways to write ½ degree resolution throughout the paper. Maybe you can state once that you are working on a lon/lat grid with ½ degree grid-spacing and then remove the information from the rest of the paper?
- P10L22: “for which the original data was remapped from 30° to match” This needs more information.
- P13L13: “the lake layers can be defined according to water volume” No sure what this means.
- P13L20: “suite of Swiss lakes” Can you be more specific? What kind of predictions, how many lakes?
- P14L15: Simstrat (v2.1.2) was described above, not Simstrat v1.4, or am I missing something?
- P20L20: “^-0424” is not a very nice format.
- P24L17: “robust fit for all eight local lake models was found” What does this mean?
- P25L16: “calibrated parameters c” This should be rephrased.
- P29L24: “the first stage of simulations undertaken by the ISIMIP Lake Sector” But this
was about ISIMIP 2 and not 1?

- P29L27: “it will clearly not be the case for” should be rephrased
- P30L2: “Within the ISIMIP framework, the simulated climate change impacts are (inter-) comparable with results from 13 other sectors, supporting cross-sectoral aggregation of impacts (Vanderkelen et al., 2020).” I do not understand this sentence.

- Table 1: “L” and “G” should be defined in the caption.
- Table 2: What does the dot in brackets stand for? Maybe?
- Table 3: “Calibrated parameter name” should be rephrased. Maybe “Names of model parameters that need to be calibrated”?
- Table 3: “Cross-site calibrated parameters summary statistics” Can you explain exactly in the caption what the two numbers are standing for and what the numbers in the brackets are?
- Table 4: “Highlighted” I guess this refers to the grey colour?
- Figure 1 A: Why is there more than one colour for the dots?
- Figure 2: The panels are too small, and A, B and C are not visible.
- Figure 3: I do not understand the sub-plot on the bottom left.