

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., chief editor comment CEC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-274-CEC1>, 2021  
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## Comment on gmd-2021-274

Astrid Kerkweg

---

Chief editor comment on "Evaluation of a forest parameterization to improve boundary layer flow simulations over complex terrain. A case study using WRF-LES V4.0.1" by Julian Quimbayo-Duarte et al., Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.,  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-274-CEC1>, 2021

---

Dear authors,

in my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.2: <https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/12/2215/2019/>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section: [http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\\_types.html](http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html)

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."
- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of a new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)"."

As evaluations are specific for one model version as well, please include something like "a case study using WRF-LES version 4.0.1" to the title of your manuscript. Additionally, be more specific in the code availability section about the version and where to find the exact WRF code version you were using in your study.

Yours, Astrid Kerkweg