

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., chief editor comment CEC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-179-CEC1>, 2021  
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## Comment on gmd-2021-179

Astrid Kerkweg

---

Chief editor comment on "The linear feedback precipitation model (LFPM 1.0) – a simple and efficient model for orographic precipitation in the context of landform evolution modeling" by Stefan Hergarten and Jörg Robl, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-179-CEC1>, 2021

---

Dear authors,

in my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.2: <https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/12/2215/2019/>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section: [http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\\_types.html](http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html)

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."
- Code must be published on a persistent public archive with a unique identifier for the exact model version described in the paper or uploaded to the supplement, unless this is impossible for reasons beyond the control of authors. All papers must include a section, at the end of the paper, entitled "Code availability". Here, either instructions for obtaining the code, or the reasons why the code is not available should be clearly stated. It is preferred for the code to be uploaded as a supplement or to be made available at a data repository with an associated DOI (digital object identifier) for the exact model version described in the paper. Alternatively, for established models, there may be an existing means of accessing the code through a particular system. In this case, there must exist a means of permanently accessing the precise model version described in the paper. In some cases, authors may prefer to put models on their own website, or to act as a point of contact for obtaining the code. Given the impermanence of websites and email addresses, this is not encouraged, and authors should consider improving the availability with a more permanent arrangement. Making code available through personal websites or via email contact to the authors is not sufficient. After the paper is accepted the model archive should be updated to include a link to the GMD paper.

Thus provide the models name (or acronym) and version number in the title of your revised manuscript.

As the links you are providing are no persistent archives, please provide a persistent release for the exact source code version used for the publication in this paper (asap and not only at final publication!). As explained in [https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/about/manuscript\\_types.html](https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/about/manuscript_types.html) the preferred reference to this release is through the use of a DOI which then can be cited in the paper.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg