

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., chief editor comment CEC1
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-145-CEC1>, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Comment on gmd-2021-145

Juan Antonio Añel

Chief editor comment on "The Aerosol Module in the Community Radiative Transfer Model (v2.2 and v2.3): accounting for aerosol transmittance effects on the radiance observation operator" by Cheng-Hsuan Lu et al., Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-145-CEC1>, 2021

Dear authors,

After checking your manuscript, it has come to our attention that it does not comply with our Code and Data Policy.
https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/policies/code_and_data_policy.html
You have archived your code in GitHub. However, GitHub is not a suitable repository. GitHub itself instructs authors to use other alternatives for long-term archival and publishing, such as Zenodo. Therefore, please, publish your code in one of the appropriate repositories, and include the relevant primary input/output data. In this way, you must include in a potential reviewed version of your manuscript the modified 'Code and Data Availability' section, the DOI of the code (and another DOI for the dataset if necessary).

Also, the GitHub repository for GSI-gefs does not seem to contain a license. If you do not include a license, the code is not "open-source"; it continues to be your property. Therefore, when uploading the model's code, you could choose a free software/open-source (FLOSS) license. We recommend the GPLv3. You only need to include the file '<https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.txt>' as LICENSE.txt with your code. Also, you can choose other options that the repository that you choose provides: GPLv2, Apache License, MIT License, etc.

You must reply to this comment before the Discussions stage is closed, including the link to the repository for the code and the corresponding DOI.

Juan A. Añel
Geosc. Mod. Dev. Exec. Editor