

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., chief editor comment CEC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-128-CEC1>, 2021  
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## Comment on gmd-2021-128

Astrid Kerkweg

---

Chief editor comment on "Verification of boundary layer wind patterns in COSMO-REA2 using clear-air radar echoes" by Sebastian Buschow and Petra Friederichs, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-128-CEC1>, 2021

---

Dear authors,

in my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.2: <https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/12/2215/2019/>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section: [http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\\_types.html](http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html)

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirement has not been met in the Discussions paper:

- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)"."

As your analysis is on COSMO-REA2 data only, please add something like "a case study using COSMO-REA2" to the title of your manuscript.

Yours, Astrid Kerkweg