

Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., referee comment RC1 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-109-RC1, 2021 © Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on gmd-2021-109

Anonymous Referee #1

Referee comment on "Incorporation of volcanic SO_2 emissions in the Hemispheric CMAQ (H-CMAQ) version 5.2 modeling system and assessing their impacts on sulfate aerosol over the Northern Hemisphere" by Syuichi Itahashi et al., Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2021-109-RC1, 2021

This paper described a straightforward sensitivity study of volcanic SO2 emission using the hemispheric CMAQ. It conducted two runs, with and without the volcanic SO2 emissions, and the results were mainly compared to surface sulfate measurements for year 2010. This surface sulfate-only verification is not sufficient for volcanic SO2 emissions since that sulfate concentration can be affected by other processes, such as wet scavenging. You may need to compare the modeled SO2 concentrations to surface/aircraft measurements and satellite retrievals. This manuscript did not mention the temporal variations of volcanic SO2 emission used here, and it likely used static emission rates. If so, the corresponding discussions are needed to justify the treatment since the volcanos unlikely erupted at constant rates for whole year of 2010.

Specified comments:

Page 4, line 24: "In this study, the entire year of 2010 was simulated". Why choose 2010 as the studied year, or is there any specific reason related to the 2010 volcano eruptions?

Page 5, line 7. So the volcano emissions have no plume rise, right? If so, why?

