

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., referee comment RC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2022-1-RC2>, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Reply on AC1

Anonymous Referee #1

Referee comment on "Accuracies of field CO₂-H₂O data from open-path eddy-covariance flux systems: assessment based on atmospheric physics and biological environment" by Xinhua Zhou et al., Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2022-1-RC2>, 2022

I appreciated the author's replies, as nearly all my comments were fully addressed.
Great!

Only two points may need further considerations.

- L24: "In an atmospheric CO₂ background," was not clear. I meant using "For a background concentration of atmospheric CO₂".
- L27-29: I understand they are two issues, but both sentences mentioned "zero procedure is important to improve H₂O accuracy".