

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., author comment AC4 https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2021-22-AC4, 2021 © Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Reply on RC2

Hubert T. Samboko et al.

Author comment on "Evaluating low-cost topographic surveys for computations of conveyance" by Hubert T. Samboko et al., Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2021-22-AC4, 2021

We acknowledge and appreciate the comments made by RC2. We are greatly encouraged to hear that the reviewer finds the data collected potentially interesting and of practical use to water managers in developing countries. As advised by RC2, we acknowledge the need to reorganise the paper to focus more on the novel attributes such as the 'gridding' approach, low-cost GNSS based bathymetry, RTK line. We therefore submit the following point by point responses to the reviewer comments.

Comments from Reviewers	Authors Response	Authors Changes

1

clarify in the abstract The manuscript that GNSS data are used to characterize the subaqueous bathymetry, and UAVsabout the low-cost are only used to map GNSS. Which we the dry surfaces.

the opportunity to describe much more believe could be revolutionary in terms description of the of access to accurate system and its costs measurements for researchers with smaller budgets.

We will adjust the indeed misses out on abstract to clarify that the GNSS is in fact the key tool for the wet bathymetry reconstruction. We will also add a to the main text.

clear. Was the UAV flown in one direction be manipulated to back and forth ("lawnmower" style) or in two direction back and forth ("checkerboard" style)?

UAV flight path is not path is important as mechanism that can reduce the doming effect, it is noted that the specific flight method must be clarified.

description of the flight path as well as a figure to aid with visualisation.

3

The flowchart in Fig 5 The flow-chart which We will redo the needs to be described describes the SfM better. For instance, what is "MVS"?

processing of the dry described terms bathymetry was indeed not described. and we will detail a Including terms such as Multi view Stereo (MVS)

image to include fully rather than acronyms step by step description of the flowchart in section 2.2.4 'processing dry and wet bathymetry'

4

clarify how the slope An explanation of how We will add a was extracted – was a slope is derived is plane fit to the DEM? missing. Is the slope computed from the average of dry points?

> compare to the slope of the RTK line (collected using the rolling cart)

description of the method of slope calculation. This will include a brief explanation of a NB* The slope will be python module called 'rasterio' which is able to interpret raster images, and therefore extract elevation values (Z) which correspond to the RTK line (the 'true') slope coordinates (X,Y).

I believe this is the Camera Parameter". This needs to be described earlier and introduction in-line in more detail. The method is partly described later (line 345) but that is out of of lens distortion place.

The term FCP is first mention of "Fixed indeed misplaced and description of FCP to is supposed to be described in the with methods which can potentially decrease the impact (doming)

We will add a the main text, including references and why it is potentially useful.

6

Figure 13 makes it appear there is a lateral slope of the water surface. Was there? Can we be surethe accuracy of the the RTK system is working properly?

We had the opportunity to do simple preexperimental tests on It may also be RTK system and its accuracy was working. which is easily There was no lateral slope of the water surface. However the future studies. extreme left bank of the river was inaccessible due to overgrown vegetation, this condition and This implies that a small section which would equalise the water levels on the left and right bank is missing.

We will provide an explanation for the apparent lateral slope. worthwhile to suggest selection of a site accessible on both sides of the river in Unfortunately selecting a location which would satisfy many other conditions such as a straight reach, accessible flood plain, etc. was not straightforward

Figures B4 and B5 do Noted not have legends.

We will add legends to both figure sets.