

Geosci. Commun. Discuss., author comment AC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2022-8-AC2>, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Reply on RC2

Chloe Brimicombe

Author comment on "Is there a climate change reporting bias? A case study of English-language news articles, 2017–2022" by Chloe Brimicombe, Geosci. Commun. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2022-8-AC2>, 2022

This is an interesting topic for me. The manuscript is well written and compiled a good amount of data.

Thank you, I am glad you find my manuscript interesting

Major comment:

Thank you. I will take this comment and the concerns of reviewer 1 and restructure a section to address this.

- The Author could also add the approximate damage caused by different weather hazards in the last five years. This will certainly increase the impact of the article and also would correlate with how 'number' alone is not the key point to be reported in the media but corresponding damage amount also can play the role. If possible, I suggest to the author add a section on it.

- The conclusion section should be re-written, not repeating the findings, but looking what is the implication of the findings and so on.

Thank you. I agree and will bring more discussion of implications into the conclusion.

In the conclusion author also can discuss. Is there a geographical bias for these kinds of hazards? Thank you. By restructuring a section of the manuscript I will tease the geographical biases out better throughout the manuscript.

Re-write the caption for Figure 2.

I agree and will rewrite it.

Line 30: Define IPCC

It will be defined as the intergovernmental panel on climate change.

Line 41: delete 'previously'

It will be removed.

Line 48: delete 'this is'

It will be removed.

Line 50: add a sentence of open science principles.

I agree and will expand this section slightly.

Line 63-68: rephrase the paragraph. same for Line 77

It will be rephrased.

Line 115 (also later) change 'k' notation to I will include the full numbers.
the full figure.

Line 122, 140, and 150, are confusing, clear I will re-check these lines and clear them.
them.