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We thank the reviewer for their enthusiasm about our paper and will implement all suggested changes: line 24 as suggested, add ‘Paleomagnetism is the field of research that deals with reconstructing Earth’s magnetic field in the past, as recorded by rocks’ as the first sentence of the Introduction, fix the typo in line 110 and mark the section ‘Ethics’ near line 253. We agree with the reviewer that a more thorough thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke 2006?) of ‘one thing you learned’ would be possible, but as this is outside of our field of expertise and we have not performed a thorough thematic analysis before, we are a bit hesitant to do this. We are also not confident it would add a lot of insight to our outcomes. However, it would have been useful to design our evaluation strategy with thematic analysis in mind, so we will add to section 4.1 ‘Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) can be used to evaluate answers from this type of open question’