

Interactive comment on “Fracking bad language: Hydraulic fracturing and earthquake risks” by Jennifer J. Roberts et al.

Jennifer J. Roberts et al.

jen.roberts@strath.ac.uk

Received and published: 23 December 2020

Dear Reviewer 2,

Thank you for your constructive review. We are glad that you feel that the manuscript is well written and will be of interest to both natural and social scientists working on various aspects of fracking and related topics.

Regarding your General Comments, the research does indeed rely on UK data and narratives. The UK makes an interesting case study which we make clear in the abstract and throughout the paper (see lines 138-140 for example). We understand why you view this as a limitation, but expanding the research to include international data and scholarship is outside the scope of this research. We agree, however, that we should

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



draw on the research and perspectives around perceived risk of induced seismicity from the US and elsewhere in the discussion, and we will implement this suggestion in the revised manuscript.

We address each of your Specific Comments in the attached document.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Roberts (Corresponding Author)

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://gc.copernicus.org/preprints/gc-2020-33/gc-2020-33-AC5-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Geosci. Commun. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-33>, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

