

Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., community comment CC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2022-18-CC1>, 2022  
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## **Comment on esurf-2022-18**

Rui Quartau

---

Community comment on "Initial shape reconstruction of a volcanic island as a tool for quantifying long-term coastal erosion: the case of Corvo Island (Azores)" by Rémi Bossis et al., Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2022-18-CC1>, 2022

---

Dear Associate Editor,

It was with pleasure that I read the submitted version of this paper about the quantification of long-term coastal erosion on Corvo Island, Azores.

This is a subject very dear to me, because I have dedicated most of my research time to the study of insular shelves surrounding reefless volcanic islands (Mitchell et al., 2008; Quartau et al., 2010; Mitchell et al., 2012; Quartau et al., 2012; Meireles et al., 2013; Mitchell et al., 2013; Quartau and Mitchell, 2013; Ramalho et al., 2013; Quartau et al., 2014; Casalbore et al., 2015; Quartau et al., 2015a; Quartau et al., 2015b; 2016; Melo et al., 2018; Quartau et al., 2018a; Quartau et al., 2018b; Ricchi et al., 2018; Romagnoli et al., 2018; Lucchi et al., 2019; Santos et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019; Ramalho et al., 2020; Ricchi et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Innocentini et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2022). And shelves formed on these types of islands that do not suffer considerable uplift/subsidence over time are mostly formed by coastal erosion.

I think the work is pertinent and the authors have read most of the pertinent references and have made a good job in integrating all that information.

I have made most of my work at the Azores studying their insular shelves and I am working on Corvo right now so I believe that knowledge and my comments can make the paper and the discussion a bit solidier and improve the impact of this study:

Lines 39-41: "Given that coastal erosion is a discontinuous phenomenon over time, short-term measurements may omit the existence of catastrophic events, such as cliff collapse, which occur only very rarely over the short term (Lim et al., 2010; e.g. Dewez et al.,

2013; Rohmer and Dewez, 2013)."

Comment: I think you should also cite here Gardner et al. (1987) and Scott Snow (1992) because they showed that this tendency for erosion to appear faster over shorter measurement periods reflects the episodic nature of cliff erosion, related to individual cliff failures and varied cliff resistance. They have showed that geomorphic/sedimentary process rates generally decrease with the measured time interval because of the episodic nature of these processes.

Lines 86-87: "This moment likely follows the setting of the flows constituting the top of the sea-cliffs, and thus the age of these flows indicates the age of the "initial" shape."

Comment: This is not exactly true and as discussed at Ramalho et al. (2013) edifice lateral growth is characterized by rapid coastal progradation, sustained by the successive generation of coastal lava deltas as flows enter the sea. We have seen this at La Palma eruption last year and the moment the lava flows enter the sea there is no cliff and only a change of slope between the subaerial flows and the submarine flows of the lava delta (see figure 4 of Ramalho et al., 2013). Off course that lava deltas are very prone to erosion and a cliff starts forming almost immediately, but only a very small cliff. It is better to use the erosional shelf edge as this marker of the start of erosion.

Lines 108-110: "As a result, the methods that reconstruct the volcano morphology ignore the submarine geomorphology. Yet, the submarine realm of volcanoes offers other constraints to better reconstruct the initial edifice geometry and to quantify coastal erosion, as seen in the next section"

Comment: This is absolutely true and I have published several works that show exactly this, so citations are missing here to support these claim such as Quartau et al. (2010, 2013, 2014, 2015a).

Lines 111-112: "Coastal erosion results in the formation of an erosional shelf below sea level and a coastal cliff above sea level in the nearshore zone (Trenhaile and Bryne, 1986; Sunamura, 1992; Anderson and Anderson, 2010; Ramalho et al., 2013)."

Comment: This is exactly what Quartau et al. (2010, 2018) have shown with topographic data and modelling, so I think citations are missing here

Lines 118-119: "The erosional shelf therefore has a theoretical depth ranging from around 0 m at the coast to about 10 m at the shelf edge (Fig. 1)."

Comment: As it is written it may confuse readers, because we know that with water level variations during glacial-interglacial periods, the sea level changes ranges the 0-120 m depths and therefore shelves have similar ranges. What the authors are describing with the 0-10 m range are shore platforms, not shelves. Although the authors acknowledge that a few lines ahead I would rephrase the sentence to become clearer as "The erosional feature formed during the present-day sea level by wave action therefore has a theoretical depth ranging from around 0 m at the coast to about 10 m at the edge (Fig. 1) and it is called shore platform."

Lines 135-138: "If the shelf edge has been covered by sediments or by volcanic progradation, the apparent depth of the shelf break is reduced; in this case, the shelf break is called a depositional shelf break (DSB) (Quartau et al., 2010). On the contrary, if it has not been covered by any material, it is called an erosional shelf break (ESB) (Quartau et al., 2010)."

Comment: I would add a reference here to the work of Quartau et al. (2015b) where the study of Pico Island showed that most of this shelf is a "rejuvenated shelf", i.e., where a previous eroded shelf was partially filled by recent volcanic progradation and made the previous ESB shallower (see sketches at figure 7 of Quartau et al., 2015b).

Lines 139-140: "In summary, the range of coastal erosion is spatially limited by the ESB on its ocean side and by the cliff up to its top (Coastal Cliff Top or CCT) on its land side (Fig. 1)."

Comment: Yes, I believe it is much better to consider the area between the CCR and the ESB, than to consider the IE which is an extrapolation of the subaerial profile and as I explained in comment to lines 86-87, it does not exist the moment that volcanism stops and the shelf starts to form. But what you are measuring on bathymetry is not ESB but a depositional shelf break (DSB), which should not be much different in terms of distance to the coast, but in terms of depth can make a difference, especially if there is a great sediment thickness on the shelf.

Lines 141-143: "...whereas the submarine part of the edifice below the ESB (Fig. 1) is not subject to any erosive process except for gravitational collapses at the shelf edge which may form an embayment (Ramalho et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2021)."

Comment: The ESB is also subject of erosion by headwall retreat of canyons that develop on slopes of the submarine volcanic edifice (see Krastel et al., 2001; Casalbore et al., 2017; Quartau et al., 2018a).

Lines 145-146: ".....Following the models of Peterson and Moore (1987), DePaolo and Stopler (1996) and Ramalho et al. (2013), we can estimate the volcano aspect before coastal erosion via two extended radial profiles."

Comment: You are missing the works of Quartau et al. (2010; 2014) who have done this to calculate the original extension of the volcanic edifices at lowstand sea levels.

Lines 150-152: "Theoretically, the IE must be located near the sea level at the time of volcanic island-building. Thus, the IE could also serve as a proxy for estimating the total vertical motion experienced by the island since its formation."

Comment: As I explained previously at comments to lines 86-87 and to lines 139-140 the IE is an extrapolation of the subaerial profile and does not exist the moment that volcanism stops and the shelf starts to form. Therefore the use of the ESB is much more reliable to estimate vertical motion of a volcanic island. In addition, as we know from the

literature, the sea level has changed frequently around 130 m in the Quaternary. So, you would need to know the age of the island with uncertainty of a few thousand s of years to do that. If the last volcanism was at a lowstand then IE would be much deeper than current sea level.

Lines 150-152: "For example, during a sea level highstand, i.e. during an interglacial period, coastal erosion occurs mostly horizontally via coastal cliff retreat, whereas during sea level fall or lowstand, i.e. during a glacial period, coastal erosion affects only the erosional shelf, in such a way that its surface appears to move downward, which is sometimes called downwearing. In the latter case, the ESB not only moves deeper but also farther from the shoreline, increasing the width of the insular shelf, as well as the apparent amount of coastal erosion."

Comment: It is a bit more complex than that. Although intuitively we tend to think that shelf widening occurs mostly during highstands because sea level is attacking the cliffs, downwearing and horizontal erosion occurs in the entire cycle of sea level and you can see that on figure 2 of Trenhaile et al. (2001). On this figure we see the several profiles after each sea level cycle, and it is clear from one cycle to the other (respectively one profile to the other) that shelf widening occurs not only when sea level is at the high stand but along the entire cycle.

Lines 176-178 "However, in order to compare long-term coastal erosion metrics with traditionally calculated short-term metrics, it seems necessary to use the position of the coastal cliff top as a benchmark, so that the IE-CCT distance quantifies the erosion."

Comment: As I mentioned previously I would use the CCT-ESB because at the moment volcanism stops and erosion starts to first create a shore platform (with a stable sea level) and then a shelf (as sea level migrates up and down during glacial-interglacial periods) the IE and ESB are at the same spot.

Lines 181-182 "We therefore propose here a new method based on the analysis of aerial and submarine topographic data of volcanic islands."

Comment: The analysis of aerial and submarine topographic data of volcanic islands to calculate erosion rates is not a new method since Quartau et al. (2010) has already done that for the first time in 2010 with high resolution topography and multibeam bathymetry. Even Menard (1983) has made it long time ago with very poor bathymetry. The new approach here is to consider the IE in the reconstruction (which I do not agree to be the best marker to use) and to use exponential equations to reconstruct the initial morphologies with uncertainties calculated.

Lines 193-195: "Compared to a simple measurement of shelf width, which quantifies the total retreat of coastal cliffs (e.g. Quartau et al., 2010), this method is used not only to calculate an eroded volume and its uncertainty, but also to provide evidence that portions of the shelf have possibly been lost due to gravity collapses."

Comment: Quartau et al., (2010; 2014) did not simply measure the shelf width with profiles. They first started to fit a circular or elliptical shape of a volcanic edifice centred on

the island (or in the several volcanic complexes if more than form the island) and with its edges coinciding most of the shelf edge (figure 15 of Quartau et al. (2010) and figure 8 of Quartau et al. (2014)). And off course in the places where this fitting lied offshore the shelf edge it meant that gravity collapses have happened that made retreat the original shelf edge.

Lines 218-220: "High resolution bathymetric data (a horizontal resolution of at least 50 m) around the island are therefore necessary to clearly identify the boundary between the insular shelf and the non-eroded submarine slopes of the volcanic edifice."

Comment: Later (line 345) the authors mention that they use the EMODnet database to get the bathymetry around Corvo Island. EMODnet bathymetry has in the best-case scenarios 115 meters of resolution ([https://www.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/internal\\_html/qaqc-and-dtm-production-details/9](https://www.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/internal_html/qaqc-and-dtm-production-details/9)). Furthermore, as I explain in my comment to lines 345-347 the bathymetry they use appears to have around 150 to 250 meters of resolution. So, it appears that the authors cannot clearly identify the boundary between the insular shelf and the non-eroded submarine slopes of the volcanic edifice with this data.

Lines 299-300: "These islands are quite young (2 Ma to the present), modest in size....."

Comment: First, you mention the ages of the island without any citation to relevant literature which is abundant. In addition, there is one island which is much older than 2 Ma, which is Santa Maria that has around 6 Ma (Ramalho et al., 2017).

Lines 291-294: "Moreover, the difference between the calculated IE elevation and the current sea level could be used to estimate the total subsidence or uplift, relative to the current sea level, that the island has experienced, with the uncertainty of the vertical error bar of the IE."

Comment: You cannot use the difference between the calculated IE elevation and the current sea level to estimate the total subsidence or uplift because you do not know with detail the age of the island and as we know from the literature, the sea level has changed frequently around 130 m in the Quaternary. So, you would need to know the age of the island with uncertainty of a few thousand s of years to do that. Also the geomorphic marker IE is not the best to use because it results from the intersection of extrapolation of the subaerial profile and the submarine profile below the shelf edge. I have always used the ESB because is something that you can measure from the bathymetry if the seafloor is rocky and from seismic profiles if the seafloor is covered by sediments.

Lines 307-308: "The modest elevation of these islands moderates the phenomenon of orographic precipitation (Ramalho et al., 2013) which makes the relics of their initial aerial volcanic morphology relatively well preserved from aerial erosion."

Comment: This is not true, the orographic precipitation exists and the great majority of the islands have more than 2000 mm of annual average precipitation with the exception of Graciosa and Santa Maria which are the lowest in altitude (another evidence of orographic precipitation). Check Agencia Estatal de Meteorología, Instituto de

Meteorologia de Portugal (2012).

Lines 345-347: "As a result, we used the EMODnet database. This database covers the whole European territory, of which the Azores are part, and offers aerial and submarine topographic data around Corvo with a horizontal resolution of 50 m per pixel, which is sufficient for our analysis."

Comments: The 2020 DTM version of EMODNET has a grid size of  $1/16 * 1/16$  arc minutes (circa 115 \* 115 meters). See [https://www.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/internal\\_html/qaqc-and-dtm-production-details/9](https://www.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/internal_html/qaqc-and-dtm-production-details/9). But that is true only if the source bathymetry was multibeam, but in Corvo the bathymetry available at the time that the 2020 DTM version of EMODNET was released, was single beam. The source of the data can be consulted in the Bathymetry Viewing and Download Service (see figures above) and it is single beam bathymetry collected in 2000. In conclusion the bathymetry used does not even reach 115 meters of resolution. By looking at the size of the features discernible at a RGB Geotiff downloaded from the EMODNT portal it looks to be 150 to 200 meters resolution.

Lines 376-378: "As discussed previously, the IE indicates the maximum (initial) extension of the island. The IE is shown in purple in Figure 5, it is obvious that the IE is slightly internal to the ESB contour."

Comment: As I mentioned to my comment to lines 291-294, the IE is not a good geomorphic marker because it is an extrapolation rather than something that you can measure now with the current topography or bathymetry. In addition, if the island formed during a lowstand the IE would coincide with the ESB, so it would not be internal to the ESB contour.

Lines 457-458: "The reconstruction of this pre-erosion shape allows us to evaluate a total coastal cliff retreat ranging from 550 to 3300 m."

Comment: From my knowledge of the island (and discounting the southern lava delta). The smaller retreat should be in the order of at least 1000 m. That discrepancy has to do with the authors adopting a circular shape for the edifice, when it seems more like an elliptical one (see further comments to lines 484-486).

Lines 461-462: "Depending on the sector, erosion rates range 0.7-4.3 mm/yr and 6-37 mm/yr, respectively, for the maximum and minimum age bounds of 770 ka and 90 ka."

Comment: These erosion values deserve a better discussion here. How do they compare with those measured on other volcanic islands? Other authors have already done that for

other islands. Quartau et al. (2010) has made a good review but you can check recent works like Quartau et al (2015a). It is also different to compare younger islands with older islands and you have a big uncertainty on your age constraints. Erosion rates in the beginning start very fast but as shelves get wider erosion rates decrease because of mainly two factors: (1) Waves tend to loose energy as they cross wide shelves and (2) As cliffs get higher when they fail they deliver more material to the cliff base that protects the cliff from erosion during longer periods. There is also the influence of vertical movements of the island, subsidence tends to help increasing erosion rates (see Quartau et al., 2018b) and uplift apparently tends to decrease erosion rates.

Lines 472-477: "This value, despite its large uncertainty is comparable to the difference between the theoretical depth of -130 m in the absence of vertical movements of the ESB (Shepard, 1973; Trenhaile, 2001; Quartau et al., 2010) and its actual value of -107.25 m. This suggests that Corvo Island could have possibly experienced a mean uplift of approximately 20 m since the formation of Central Volcano. However, given the large vertical uncertainty, estimating a total uplift value would be too uncertain to be further discussed."

Comment: I agree it is too uncertain to be discussed. You have to bear in mind that the depth of the ESB you are measuring is normally a depositional shelf break because of the sediment bodies covering the shelf (see Quartau et al., 2012, 2015b). So, it means that normally the ESB is deeper than that you measure in the bathymetry and you need seismic profiles to get the real ESB.

Lines 484-486: "This indicates a vertical and horizontal protrusion. Together with the protrusion caused by the younger volcanic edifice in the southern sector of the island, these protrusions give the total edifice a slight elongation along the north-south axis."

Comment: From figure 3C and from my knowledge of the island, the edifice is elliptical (even discounting the southern lava delta). Actually an ellipse fits better the subaerial edifice and the ESB and not a circle as suggested in figure 5.

Lines 521-522: "These results open up promising perspectives that must be confirmed by the application of this method to other volcanic islands."

Comment: I agree with the observation but this work needs to be based on better data (i.e., higher resolution bathymetry, better age constraints, better definition of the ESB with seismic reflection profiles, etc.).

Rui Quartau

11<sup>th</sup> August 2022

References

Agencia Estatal de Meteorología, Instituto de Meteorologia de Portugal, 2012. Climate atlas of the archipelagos of the Canary Islands, Madeira and the Azores.

Casalbore, D., Romagnoli, C., Bosman, A., Anzidei, M., Chiocci, F.L., 2017. Coastal hazard due to submarine canyons in active insular volcanoes: examples from Lipari Island (southern Tyrrhenian Sea). *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 22, 989-999.

Casalbore, D., Romagnoli, C., Pimentel, A., Quartau, R., Casas, D., Ercilla, G., Hipólito, A., Sposato, A., Chiocci, F.L., 2015. Volcanic, tectonic and mass-wasting processes offshore Terceira Island (Azores) revealed by high-resolution seafloor mapping. *Bull. Volc.* 77, 1-19.

Gardner, T.W., Jorgensen, D.W., Shuman, C., Lemieux, C.R., 1987. Geomorphic and tectonic process rates: effects of measured time interval. *Geology* 15 (3), 259-261

Innocentini, S., Quartau, R., Casalbore, D., Roque, C., Vinhas, A., Santos, R., Rodrigues, A., 2022. Morpho-stratigraphic characterization of the southern shelf of Porto Santo Island (Madeira Archipelago): insights for small-scale instability processes and post-LGM sedimentary architecture. *Mar Geol* 444, 106729.

Krastel, S., Schmincke, H.-U., Jacobs, C.L., 2001. Formation of submarine canyons on the flanks of the Canary Islands. *Geo-Mar. Lett.* 20, 160-167.

Lucchi, F., Ricchi, A., Romagnoli, C., Casalbore, D., Quartau, R., 2019. Late Quaternary paleo sea level geomorphological markers of opposite vertical movements at Salina volcanic island (Aeolian Arc). *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 44, 2377-2395.

Menard, H.W., 1983. Insular erosion, isostasy, and subsidence. *Science* 220, 913-918.

Meireles, R., Quartau, R., Ramalho, R.S., Rebelo, A.C., Madeira, J., Zanon, V., Ávila, S.P., 2013. Depositional processes on oceanic island shelves – evidence from storm-generated Neogene deposits from the mid-North Atlantic. *Sedimentology* 60, 1769-1785.

Melo, C.S., Ramalho, R.S., Quartau, R., Hipólito, A.R., Gill, A., Borges, P.A., Cardigos, F., Avila, S.P., Madeira, J., Gaspar, J.L., 2018. Genesis and morphological evolution of coastal talus-platforms (fajãs) with lagoon systems: the case study of the newly-formed Fajã dos Milagres (Corvo Island, Azores). *Geomorphology* 310, 138-152.

Mitchell, N.C., Beier, C., Rosin, P., Quartau, R., Tempera, F., 2008. Lava penetrating water: submarine lava flows around the coasts of Pico Island, Azores. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9, Q03024.

Mitchell, N.C., Quartau, R., Madeira, J., 2012. Assessing landslide movements in volcanic islands using near-shore marine geophysical data: south Pico Island, Azores. *Bull. Volc.* 74, 483-496.

Mitchell, N.C., Quartau, R., Madeira, J., 2013. Large-scale active slump of the southeastern flank of Pico Island, Azores: COMMENT. *Geology* 41, e301.

Quartau, R., Hipólito, A., Mitchell, N.C., Gaspar, J.L., Brandão, F., 2015a. Comment on "Construction and destruction of a volcanic island developed inside an oceanic rift: Graciosa Island, Terceira Rift, Azores" by Sibrant et al. (2014) and proposal of a new model for Graciosa geological evolution [*J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 284 (2014) 32-45]. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 303, 146-156.

Quartau, R., Hipólito, A., Romagnoli, C., Casalbore, D., Madeira, J., Tempera, F., Roque, C., Chiocci, F.L., 2014. The morphology of insular shelves as a key for understanding the geological evolution of volcanic islands: Insights from Terceira Island (Azores). *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 15, 1801–1826.

Quartau, R., Madeira, J., Mitchell, N.C., Tempera, F., Silva, P.F., Brandão, F., 2015b. The insular shelves of the Faial-Pico Ridge: a morphological record of its geologic evolution (Azores archipelago). *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 16, 1401–1420.

Quartau, R., Madeira, J., Mitchell, N.C., Tempera, F., Silva, P.F., Brandão, F., 2016. Reply to comment by Marques et al. on "The insular shelves of the Faial-Pico Ridge (Azores archipelago): A morphological record of its evolution". *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 17, 633-641.

Quartau, R., Mitchell, N.C., 2013. Comment on "Reconstructing the architectural evolution of volcanic islands from combined K/Ar, morphologic, tectonic, and magnetic data: The Faial Island example (Azores)" by Hildenbrand et al. (2012) [*J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 241-242 (2012) 39-48]. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 255, 124-126.

Quartau, R., Ramalho, R.S., Madeira, J., Santos, R., Rodrigues, A., Roque, C., Carrara, G., Brum da Silveira, A., 2018a. Gravitational, erosional and depositional processes on volcanic ocean islands: Insights from the submarine morphology of Madeira archipelago. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 482, 288-299.

Quartau, R., Tempera, F., Mitchell, N.C., Pinheiro, L.M., Duarte, H., Brito, P.O., Bates, R., Monteiro, J.H., 2012. Morphology of the Faial Island shelf (Azores): The interplay between volcanic, erosional, depositional, tectonic and mass-wasting processes. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 13, Q04012, doi:10.1029/2011GC003987.

Quartau, R., Trenhaile, A.S., Mitchell, N.C., Tempera, F., 2010. Development of volcanic insular shelves: Insights from observations and modelling of Faial Island in the Azores Archipelago. *Mar. Geol.* 275, 66-83.

Quartau, R., Trenhaile, A.S., Ramalho, R.S., Mitchell, N.C., 2018b. The role of subsidence in shelf widening around ocean island volcanoes: Insights from observed morphology and modeling. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 498, 408-417.

Ramalho, R.S., Helffrich, G., Madeira, J., Cosca, M., Thomas, C., Quartau, R., Hipólito, A., Rovere, A., Hearty, P.J., Ávila, S.P., 2017. Emergence and evolution of Santa Maria Island (Azores)—The conundrum of uplifted islands revisited. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 129, 372-390.

Ramalho, R.S., Quartau, R., Hóskuldsson, A., Madeira, J., Ventura da Cruz, J., Rodrigues, A., 2020. Evidence for late Pleistocene volcanism at Santa Maria Island, Azores? *J Volcanol Geoth Res* 394, 106829.

Ramalho, R.S., Quartau, R., Trenhaile, A.S., Mitchell, N.C., Woodroffe, C.D., Ávila, S.P., 2013. Coastal evolution on volcanic oceanic islands: A complex interplay between volcanism, erosion, sedimentation, sea-level change and biogenic production. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 127, 140-170.

Ricchi, A., Quartau, R., Ramalho, R.S., Romagnoli, C., Casalbore, D., 2020. Imprints of volcanic, erosional, depositional, tectonic and mass-wasting processes in the morphology of Santa Maria insular shelf. *Mar Geol* 424, 106163.

Ricchi, A., Quartau, R., Ramalho, R.S., Romagnoli, C., Casalbore, D., Ventura da Cruz, J., Fradique, C., Vinhas, A., 2018. Marine terraces development on reefless volcanic islands:

new insights from high-resolution marine geophysical data offshore Santa Maria Island (Azores Archipelago). *Mar. Geol.* 406, 42-56.

Romagnoli, C., Casalbore, D., Ricchi, A., Lucchi, F., Quartau, R., Bosman, A., Tranne, C.A., Chiocci, F.L., 2018. Morpho-bathymetric and seismo-stratigraphic analysis of the insular shelf of Salina (Aeolian archipelago) to unveil its Late-Quaternary geological evolution. *Mar. Geol.* 395, 133-151.

Santos, R., Quartau, R., Brum da Silveira, A., Ramalho, R., Rodrigues, A., 2019. Gravitational, erosional, sedimentary and volcanic processes on the submarine environment of Selvagens Islands (Madeira Archipelago, Portugal). *Marine Geology* 415, 105945.

Scott Snow, R., 1992. The Cantor dust model for discontinuity in geomorphic process rates. *Geomorphology* 5, 185-194.

Trenhaile, A.S., 2001. Modelling the Quaternary evolution of shore platforms and erosional continental shelves. *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.* 26, 1103-1128.

Zhao, Z., Mitchell, N.C., Quartau, R., Moreira, S., Rusu, L., Melo, C., Ávila, S.P., Das, D., Afonso, P., Pombo, J., Duarte, J., Rodrigues, A., 2022. Wave influenced deposition of carbonate-rich sediment on the insular shelf of Santa Maria Island, Azores. *Sedimentology* 69, 1547-1572.

Zhao, Z., Mitchell, N.C., Quartau, R., Ramalho, R.S., Rusu, L., 2020. Coastal erosion rates of lava deltas around oceanic islands. *Geomorphology* 370, 107410.

Zhao, Z., Mitchell, N.C., Quartau, R., Tempera, F., Bricheno, L., 2019. Submarine platform development by erosion of a Surtseyan cone at Capelinhos, Faial Island, Azores. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 44, 2982– 3006.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://esurf.copernicus.org/preprints/esurf-2022-18/esurf-2022-18-CC1-supplement.pdf>