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It is of great significance for the author to carry out this work. The method adopted is reasonable and the conclusion is clear. The structure and text of the paper are very good, which can be seen that a great deal of concrete work has been done and a great deal of literature has been read in the rescue of Sheshan Observatory data. 1, whether the pictures (not pictures) conform to the requirements of the journal board, such as the Chinese menu. 2. Is a small number of words such as station replaced by observatory? The compare... and... replaced by compare... With? Is the conclusion part agreement replaced by consistence? goal seems to be the plan? Have gap and spike been replaced for lack and peak? Anyway, good. I can’t really suggest anything.