

Comment on **essd-2022-181**

Anonymous Referee #3

Referee comment on "Improved global sea surface height and current maps from remote sensing and in situ observations" by Maxime Ballarotta et al., Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2022-181-RC3>, 2022

Review of the MS by Ballarotta et al. (essd-2022-181)

The MS makes a significant step in reconstruction of gridded global sea level and surface currents over extended range of scales, based on fusing the data from different sources. While Level-3 altimetry is the main data source, known problems related to anisotropy of sampling density in along-track and cross-track directions are gradually solved by inclusion of independent surface drifter data. From methodical point of view, classical optimal interpolation scheme, used in the operational DUACS setup, is extended in the experimental MIOST method. The new method allows inclusion of theoretical knowledge of the involved processes (i.e. geostrophy, divergent and high-frequency ageostrophy, dispersion relations of basic wave types etc) based on the wavelet decomposition of original physical state vectors into scale-dependent components in the time-space domain. Such an approach is promising.

Practical study is made on the basis of data from three sources: CMEMS Global Altimeter SLA products, experimental Arctic leads Altimeter SLA products, and drifter trajectories related to the AOML Drifters' geostrophic velocity product. Drifter data were not used in the equatorial strip.

While overall material of the MS is clear, interesting and worth publishing, I give some comments which may be taken into account when preparing the final MS.

1. The MS involves a number of issues from physical oceanography, but their presentation is rather fragmentary. There could be an outline of the scales and main features of the processes that are considered in the methods and results, perhaps in the introduction or in the beginning of sub-sections of section 2.2.2 (see the next comment). For example, it could be noted, that slow Rossby waves are solved already within geostrophy, but faster equatorial waves (TIW and Poincaré) benefit from direct inclusion of their dispersion relations.

2. The section "2.2.2 A multiscale & multivariate mapping approach" is too technical. The section start with noting the oversampling problem (lines 168-173) is not helpful and could be skipped. The section could briefly summarize the motivation by Ubelmann et al. (2021) written in the Plain Language Summary. The list in lines 175-179 /1) geostrophy and equatorial waves: 2) Tropical Instability Waves (TIW) and 3) Poincaré waves/ could

be elaborated and explained; it could be also harmonized with caption of Figure 7 and equations 20, 21. I propose that in the beginning of each approach of inclusion of analytical expressions, description of specific oceanographic processes could be given together with references to the basic literature.

3. Presentation of matrix-vector operations in lines 178-222 is exactly copied from the paper by Ubelmann et al. (2021). Such repetition is not necessary. The section could be condensed and explained for the user who is not intending to make own implementation or development of the method, but rather interested to understand the basic steps behind the new gridded data sets. It seems that the key equations are 9, 10 and 15. Regarding (10), it could be explicitly written that index k (1...3) presents different type of physics as stated earlier. Type of the wavelet decomposition (line 189, but also 240, 253) is not clear and could be explained

4. The section of geostrophy component in lines 225-255 is again a direct copy from Ubelmann et al. (2021). It is necessary for the next parts of the MS. Still, it can be modified for better readability. For example, more physics like quasi-geostrophic motions, including Rossby waves (later referenced in line 373), could be noted.

5. Compared to Ubelmann et al. (2021), the MS presents new approach for two types of equatorial waves. Since earlier in lines 175-179 these waves were indexed $k=2$ and $k=3$, then dispersion relations Eq (21) should be split into two, with appropriate indexes. Literature references to the dispersion relations should be given.

6. Reasons for excluding the drifter data in the equatorial zone (lines 294-296) are not clear; are the drifter data too noisy to evaluate equatorial waves, or some other reasons.

7. Description of experiments (lines 296-299) does not agree with the Table 3; data from Copernicus Marine Service referred in the text are not in the table.

8. Vorticity results are presented without any explanation (line 344, Fig. 5). Why we need them, are we interested in eddies etc?

9. Theoretical dispersion curves in Fig. 7 are not labeled. Their main features are not explained and/or referenced in the text.

Technical issues

a) The title starts with "Improved global sea surface height and currents maps...".

Although comparison with the existing sea level maps (E.U. Copernicus Marine Service (product reference SEALEVEL_GLO_PHY_L3_MY_008_062) show some improvement during the 4 years test period, in my understanding it is not yet finally clear whether the new method is also an improved method in statistical sense.

b) Lines 22-23 state that "this new product is proposed against the DUACS operational product distributed in the Copernicus Marine Service." The wording may create a feeling of contradiction, but in essence, the new method is meant to be used in further development of CMEMS.

c) Line 28: "effective resolution" remains unclear in the abstract, although it is well presented in the main text.

d) There is a number of unexplained abbreviations like AVISO, DUACS, MIOST, AOML, SWOT.

e) The name DUACS in the section 2.2.1 heading (line 140) is not informative. The section presents optimal interpolation used in the operational setup.

f) Line 256 should have reference to Fig. 4a and 4c (not the whole Fig. 4), since westward propagating graphs (Fig. 4b and 4d) are introduced in the next section.

g) Lines 376-378 (caption of Fig. 7) lacks the notation, which curves correspond to Kelvin, Yanai, Rossby and Poincaré waves.

h) There should be space between the number and the unit (lines 386-389, 412, 414 and so on).

- i) Naming of the experiments should be unified throughout the MS. There are EXP01 to EXP03 listed in Table 3, but other names are given in the captions of Figs. 8-14.
- j) Reference list could be extended to include basic papers in physical oceanography, relevant to the altimetry development issues.
- k) References should be ordered according to the journal rules (alphabetically), presently there are flaws. Paper by Le Guillou lacks reference to the publication year.