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Geo-located photos are increasingly used in the land cover mapping and biodiversity studies. This study presents a large collection containing 874k geo-referenced photos taken during the period between 2006-2018. Being different from the previously published LUCAS survey photos, the Cover photo is taken at very close distance to the vegetation so that the leaves, structures of the plants can be clearly recorded. The dataset is undergone through an anonymization filtering and some simple statistics of the data are shown. The dataset is clearly described and the potential applications is discussed. The manuscript can be published after some issues to be addressed.

Thank you for the positive review and the comments that we have addressed below.

- The structure of how the photos is organized should be further explained. Currently using the link provided by the authors, under LUCAS_COVER, photos are organized by the years obtained. The subdirectory of the year is country, in the country folder, they are in folders named with three digits number, and in these folders, there are again folders named with three digits number. The file system structure and the meaning of these three digits numbers should be further explained.

We’ve included a brief explanation of the file structure:

The directory tree for the photo database follows the standard of the original EUROSTAT data. It is thereby organised, starting from the folder shown in the provided link, descending into a folder specifying the year of the survey ("LUCASYYYY"), followed by folders of each respective NUTS0 code that the point is located in, in turn proceeded by folders of the first three digits, and the second three digits of the point id. The JPG files that constitute the LUCAS Cover photos are to be found in the folder named after the second three digits of the point id, and named according to the convention described in Section 6.

- The quality of the photos varies greatly from site to site. The light condition, distance to the target various greatly. For example, LUCAS2012_49204454_Cover.jpg show very
dark color and is taken with an upward looking direction. Limited information can be extracted from this photo. Some of the photos are not taken in the field but with samples collected and photos are taken either in a car or a lab (photos in LUCAS2012/FI/492/044). The geo-location of these photos should be checked to make sure that they are correctly labeled.

Indeed, the image quality varies sometimes drastically between points, years, and surveyors. It was decided that we, as carrying out the harmonization, are not responsible for determining what constitutes a "good quality" image, as this depends to a large extent on the use case. What is a good image for one use case is not necessarily the same for another and vice-versa. We wanted to keep as much of the original data intact as possible and serve it to users, who would determine what works for their use case. The statement that images from LUCAS2012/FI/492/044 are taken from a car or in a lab seems incorrect. If the reviewer is referring to the black background images – this is the folder that the surveyor has with them when on the field, and are sometimes taking image against the background, which, for example, for a use case we are using the images for proved to be a bad quality image. The "images taken from a car" also seems implausible – they would be significantly more blurred if taken from a car. The geo-location of the images has been cross-checked where possible to extract the location from the EXIF.

Detailed comments:

- L16, what is the difference between "points" and "locations"?

Revised the sentence as:

During the five campaigns already carried out, a total of 1351293 point surveys at 651780 unique locations were performed along with 5.4 million landscape photos.

- Figure3. are these cover photos? They are taken close enough to recognize the plant structure.

These are not Cover images, the first panel on the left is a P (point) image, evidenced by the flag, showing the exact point location or nearest location reached, and the one on the right is an image in a cardinal direction. The figure is provided from the EFTAS sub-contractor, hired by ESTAT to carry out the anonymization, as done on the main LUCAS photo archive, which is the same workflow, carried out on the LUCAS Cover photos.

L86, what are the columns? Are they a from a table?

Sentence corrected as:

"The difference between columns five (i.e. 1st step) and six (i.e. 2nd step) of Table 2 shows ..."

L112, maybe explain what does xxxx represent in the file name?

Sentence corrected as:

"To coherently catalogue this, all images were renamed to fit the convention "LUCASYYYY\_PointID\_Cover.jpg", where YYYY is a placeholder for the year of the survey."
Figure 4, it would be helpful to add the national boundaries as the background.

*NUTS0 boundaries added to plot.*

Figure 5. Is it possible to provide more specific classifications (species) of the woodland and shrubland?

*Figure 5 shows the legend classes in LUCAS legend level 3 (LC1 variable), it is possible to descend one level further through the LUCAS legend hierarchy - level 4 (LC1_SPEC variable), where different classes of woodland and shrubland are listed, but then the figure becomes illegible. Simply put, all level-4 classes from the other letter groups put together become in the hundreds. The figure as it stands is the most worthwhile representation of the data in a figure of this kind.*

Figure 6. I do not see photos in categories such as G10, G21, F10, F20, is it because there are less than 300 photos for this class? I would suggest the authors show at least 1 for all classes.

*Updated the figure to include all classes (not just the >300 images per class).*