Dear referee,

We acknowledge the problems identified with respect to organisation of the manuscript and the differences in style between the different subsections. We plan on re-organising the manuscript partly along the suggestions. Concerning adding more impacts we will clearly state that the impacts we describe are a subset of impacts. We, however, do think it is outside of the scope of the paper to make a comprehensive list of impacts.

The differences in style, of course, comes from the many co-authors (which has the positive aspect that we include a broad competence needed for the review). We will make an effort into streamlining the chapters according to the suggested style.

We now include meteo-tsunamis in the section on knowledge gaps, but we choose not to include them in Table 4.