



EGUsphere, community comment CC1
<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-577-CC1>, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on egusphere-2022-577

Richard Rosen

Community comment on "Brief Communication: Climate science as a social process – history, climatic determinism, CUDOS und post-normality" by Hans von Storch, EGU sphere, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-577-CC1>, 2022

I have several initial points to make at this time:

1. The article is poorly written. At the very least it needs some reorganization and a good editing. The abstract should summarize the article which it does not do well now.
2. The article covers too many points/issues too briefly, such as its few sentences dealing with the "scientific method". There is the same problem with the discussion of post-normal science, which is an important concept widely written about by others. These are old issues that need to be omitted or discussed in more detail.
3. There is no conclusion at the end, so it leaves the reader hanging. A clear conclusion is needed to pull all the author's key points together. A conclusion also needs to summarize what is new in this article.
4. Of course, doing climate science is a social process just like any field of science. What's new here?