



EGUsphere, referee comment RC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-505-RC1>, 2022  
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

## **Comment on egusphere-2022-505**

Anonymous Referee #1

---

Referee comment on "Analyzing The Factor and Work Model of Environmental Journalist in Renewable Energy" by Marlinda Irwanti Poernomo et al., EGU Sphere,  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-505-RC1>, 2022

---

■

Does the paper address relevant scientific questions within the scope of GC?

Yes

■

Does the paper present novel concepts, ideas, tools, or data?

Yes, the applied model is quite new in the area

■

Are the scientific methods and assumptions valid and clearly outlined?

yes

- 

Are the results sufficient to support the interpretations and conclusions?

Yes, but i would suggest a path through further study in the area that actually is not clearly outlined

- 

Do the authors give proper credit to related work and clearly indicate their own new/original contribution?

Yes

- 

Does the title clearly reflect the contents of the paper?

- 

Does the abstract provide a concise and complete summary?

Yes

- 

Is the overall presentation well structured and clear?

Yes

■

Is the language fluent and precise?

Yes

■

Are the number and quality of references appropriate?

Yes

General comment: the paper is good, well contextualized and give original elements in the literature.

I would suggest to provide some more contextual elements about environmental journalism, also in relation to the broader context of science journalism. This will allow the reader to understand better the ground of the research. All the details are in the ms review

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://egusphere.copernicus.org/preprints/2022/egusphere-2022-505/egusphere-2022-505-RC1-supplement.pdf>