



EGUsphere, author comment AC3
<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-41-AC3>, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Reply on RC2

Joao Marcos Azevedo Correia de Souza et al.

Author comment on "Moana Ocean Hindcast – a > 25-year simulation for New Zealand waters using the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS) v3.9 model" by Joao Marcos Azevedo Correia de Souza et al., EGU sphere,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-41-AC3>, 2022

General comment -

Overall this is a good and detailed paper on a hindcast dataset while providing background information and validation of the dataset. The methods undertaken are robust and I commend the authors for their interesting study. As is mentioned in the manuscript, the work builds on earlier work and extensively compares model results with other data sources (like GLORYS). The work presented is novel and provides a major step in the direction of predictive models and I think it is worthy of publishing in NHESS. However, I have listed a couple of modest comments and technical correction I would like to see addressed.

Modest comments –

In the introduction the authors mention that global reanalysis with DA, because of horizontal resolution have little capacity for complexities like riverine influences and mesoscale variability. While the authors mention mesoscale variability in the manuscript, I was left wondering if the MOANA hindcast is able to capture riverine influences in ocean dynamics and if authors have tried to analyze this.

There are obvious impacts in the Firth of Thames, where horizontal stratification is noticeable (and you can see it in the Moana Hindcast). But we had no coastal salinity data to compare to. Our only source was Argo float profiles that don't cover regions shallower than 1000m. This is why choose to not

- I think in general the methodology section can benefit from a summarizing table or

flowchart containing the many datasets (including for the model evaluation datasets) the research is using.

A summarizing table was added to the text.

- Line 214-215: Add a sentence or two why and when it is to be expected that higher resolution leads to larger variances (seasonal, peaks, gradient is large).

We added a short explanation.

- Line 240-241: It is stated that patterns of RSME are similar to patterns observed in variance of SST of Fig 3. Whereas there are some similar patterns, notably the highest RMSE (43S-174E and 48S-166E) fall in relatively low variance areas which contradicts the statement. Please elaborate on this.

The 2 regions correspond to fronts of the Southland Current where large SST gradients are present. Therefore, we estimate that the RMSE can be related to difference sin the location of the front in the simulation. Although this can be caused by errors in the model, one should keep in mind that the 1/4 optimal interpolation SST product will have smoothed fronts that will contribute to the large RMSE.

- Line 259-261: Elaborate how the surface forcing and boundary conditions from GLORYS could trickle down to observed difference in salinity.

The boundary conditions from GLORYS set the large scale water masses structure that is fed to the model domain. However, the presence of a water mass formation zone in the Subtropical Front provides a pathway through which atmospheric signals coming from CFSR can penetrate to depths bellow the thermocline and influence the 3D density structure - especially for central and deep waters.

Technical correction:

All technical corrections were edited in the manuscript.

- Line 68 and 70 uses the abbreviation DA, but it is not written in full. Do the authors mean Data Assimilation?
- Line 6 and 7: "sea surface temperature (SST), sea surface height (SSH)" should be with capitals.
- Line 37: add "Due 'to' the large".
- Line 144: the link is not working for me, maybe better to just provide the link to the product store (<https://resources.marine.copernicus.eu/products>) and give the dataset name along with it instead of this large link.
- Line 177: SST is already in use in the introduction. - For example, in Figs 2-3-4, what is meant with Moana 'Backbone', please provide context.

It is another name for the Moana Ocean Hindcast. A reference was provided on its first appearance in the text.

- Please provide contour boundaries in the caption of Fig 3.
- Line 239: Further explored in which section?
- Line 259-261: Sentence doesn't flow well.
- Line 285 and 336: Question mark.
- Line 318: sections 1 without the 's'. Also line 354
- Figure 10a: section 8 is missing
- Table 4: Denote separations with A-D in the Table