Comment on egusphere-2022-199
Anonymous Referee #2

I can only concur with the comments of Referee #1 in that the similarities of the present submission with the previous article of Du et al. (JGR, 2018) must lead one to wonder what is really novel here -- in terms of methodology, flow diagnoses and conclusions. The Authors should be given a chance to rebut all this in detail, thereby explaining why their study should be published -- and why that of Du et al. (2018) is no longer sufficient.