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Review of “Probabilistic soil moisture dynamics of water– and energy–limited Ecosystems” by Muñoz et al.

Summary

The authors present an adaptation of the ecohydrological model for soil moisture dynamics at a point of Rodríguez-Iturbe et al. (1999) and Laio et al. (2001) that is currently restricted to water-limited conditions. The adaptation proposed is to limit Tmax in the Laio et al. model by available energy (PAR) using an empirical relationship between PAR en Tmax based on flux data and inspired by the Farquhar C3 assimilation and the Leuning model of stomatal conductance which both increase with PAR (the latter because it is an increasing function of assimilation).

Major comments

- This is a relatively limited extension of an existing model, but interesting enough to warrant a publication in HESS. However, for such a limited innovation, the paper is much too long winding. It can be reduced considerably. Why are so many equations
related to the Farquhar model (in the main text and the appendix) provided, while in
the end no assimilation is calculated: only soil moisture and water balance components.
These could be left out or only the equations presented that are needed to support the
arguments.
- By the way: do we really need Penman-Monteith? According to Penman Monteith,
Figure 2 seems to show that Tmax increases linearly with radiation and does not
saturate? This seems a contradiction. With the exponential function chosen.
- While the paper is too long, it should also be heavily restructured, A much simpler
setup would be the following:
  - Introduction
  - Short recap of the Laio et al model (only Eqs 7, 8, 9, 10, 12)
  - Short review of transpiration under both water and energy limited conditions.
    - Describe Figure 1. Also describe why the T-R or T-PAR relationship is a saturating
      curve? Is this based on Leunings stomatal conductance model and C3 Farquhar
      assimilation and Penman Monteith? Please explain.
    - Support the chosen form of Tmax(PAR) with flux data (Figure 4). Here the fluxnet
      dataset can be introduced.
    - Leading to the adaptation of the Lai et al model replacing Tmax with Tmax(PAR)
  - Sensitivity study (Figures 5,6)
  - Validation: (see remark hereafter).
  - Appendices A and B can be removed.
- To show the importance of the addition an additional validation step is needed. Since
you are looking at fluxnet data, at least qualitatively you should be able to show that
the pdfs of soil moisture (or at least evapotranspiration) obtained from your adaption
are closer to the observed values at the flux sites than the original ones obtained from
Laio et al (all other parameters being equal). I realize that the assumption of
stationarity does not hold for the German site due to seasonality, but you could focus
on one summer month (July) and one early spring month (April) separately to have a
water limited and an energy limited example.

Minor comments

- Abstract, line 8: sensibility -> sensitivity.
- Line 25: replace “there are seasonal environments .. fluctuates” with “There are areas
  where both regimes occur depending on the season.
- Lines 28-32: I do not understand this part. Why are is situ and remote sensing data
  and numerical simulations presented as three categories. The type of data used and the
  way equations are solved are two separate issues.
- Line 33: “from such complex processes”. What complex processes are meant here?
- Line 62, start with: "The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows:"
- Line 92: tappers -> tapers
- Lines 260-262: groundwater can have a major impact on the pdf of soil moisture and
  evaporation. See e.g.: