The topic addressed by the authors is of scientific and practical interest, as they analyze emissions from the presence and operation of wind turbines. The authors present the results of their analysis in a clear, concise manner and with the use of valid and known methods. However, most of the results presented and discussed are based on the analysis of the amplitude decay with distance of the vibrations caused by wind turbines. This has already been presented in numerous publications so I do not find what is novel in this discussion. What is novel in the paper is on the one hand the relation to the experiences of the residents, and on the other hand the analysis and comparison with acoustic signals. The authors should give much more emphasis and more space to these two aspects. The energy decay should be an adjunct to support this discussion and the conclusions about the problem with the residents.

The language needs to be improved. The structure of the abstract should be improved: both in form and language. In the first three lines there is too much repetition of the word emissions. It is not explained whether the words immission and emission are used interchangeably or whether they have any distinctive meaning. There are problems of wording or use of words such as "measurements in the resident" that need to be improved before the manuscript is accepted. Sometimes it is said (compare figure XX) but it is not said what it is to be compared with