Dear Peter Köhler,

We thank you for the thoughtful comments on our manuscript.

As you pointed out, indeed the model used in this study is a suitable tool to examine the degree of local (dis)equilibrium of the partial pressure of CO$_2$, or $p$CO$_2$, between the atmosphere and the surface ocean. We tailored the model forcing for the LGM carbon-cycle state both in physical (e.g. radiative forcing) and biogeochemical (e.g. dust input) ways, so that we would be able to provide a more reliable quantitative estimate of the glacial $p$CO$_2$ in the surface water, hence the degree of equilibrium, compared to the previous estimate by Völker and Köhler (2013). Moreover, the sensitivity experiments with additional freshwater forcing can offer an opportunity to test the sensitivity of the estimate to perturbed states, which brings another support for the robustness of the estimate.

The attached figure shows the global maps of the difference in $p$CO$_2$ between the surface ocean and the atmosphere ($\Delta p$CO$_2$) for the three LGM experiments in this study. Every simulation had clear disequilibrium of $p$CO$_2$ in most regions, which showed a remarkable zonal structure. For example, $p$CO$_2$ in the surface water was higher than in the atmosphere (i.e. $\Delta p$CO$_2$ is positive) in the low latitude band irrespective of the longitude, where $\Delta p$CO$_2$ was typically 30-40 ppm in the equatorial Atlantic, 0-40 ppm in the western equatorial Pacific, and 40-80 ppm in the eastern equatorial Pacific.

The differences in $\Delta p$CO$_2$ between each LGM simulation and the pre-industrial run are also shown in the figure (the right column) to demonstrate how variable $\Delta p$CO$_2$ is depending on different ages or different climate regimes. The modelled glacial $\Delta p$CO$_2$ fields were significantly different from the modern one, revealing patchy distributions of the anomaly that was up to $\pm$50 ppm apart from some marginal seas. Among the different LGM runs, the $\Delta p$CO$_2$ fields were comparatively similar to one another, which added to the robustness of the contrast between the LGM and PI.

These results suggest that the state of local equilibrium or disequilibrium of $p$CO$_2$ is substantially variable and that the modern state is not always applicable to other ages that had a different climate state, although this study only offers test cases for one time
slice. We will extend the manuscript on the next revision to include a similar description and discussion to contribute to better interpretations of relevant proxy data.

Sincerely,
Takasumi Kurahashi-Nakamura


Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://cp.copernicus.org/preprints/cp-2022-8/cp-2022-8-AC1-supplement.pdf