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Comment for “Impact of iron fertilisation on atmospheric CO$_2$ during the last glaciation”

Saini and co-author present nice modeling study of glacial carbon changes at 70 ka BP. The authors investigate, using a range of factorial analyses, the impacts of iron input and increased efficiency of biological pump in the glacial ocean. They simulate an upper limit for the CO$_2$ decrease due to iron fertilization of 21 ppm. The manuscript is concise and well written. Figures and tables are illustrative and support the conclusions. I recommend publication of the manuscript after minor revision.

I have one concern that require revision. The author showed that an exponential decay response of CO$_2$ decrease to iron input to the Southern Ocean (SO) is due to the saturation of the biological pump. I agree with this conclusion, but some descriptions of the reason why the efficiency of the biological pump saturates in response to iron inputs are missing. As a result of the saturation of the increase in EP/NPP in the SO in response to the iron input, has the efficiency of the biological pump also saturated? For example, please add figures for changes in EP/NPP in SO in response to iron inputs in SO to Figure 4 and describe the nonlinear response of the ecosystem to changes in iron inputs.

It would also be important to add a similar figure for changes in nutrient concentrations to show changes in nutrient cycling with iron fertilization. In the case of large iron flux (e.g. lambre50%-47S), does the ecosystem response saturate due to nitrogen depletion instead of iron? I think these descriptions would be useful to the reader.
Minor comments

L174-175: It is interesting result that even though the two iron deposition patterns are very different, the effect on CO$_2$ lowering is almost the same for the two patterns. Please add an explanation as to why the Weddell Sea convection would result in smaller changes in CO$_2$ for the different iron deposition patterns.

L286-287 Where is this information described in the method? I couldn't figure it out, so please let me know.