

Clim. Past Discuss., referee comment RC2 https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-167-RC2, 2022 © Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on cp-2021-167

Anonymous Referee #2

Referee comment on "Quantifying and reducing researcher subjectivity in the generation of climate indices from documentary sources" by George C. D. Adamson et al., Clim. Past Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2021-167-RC2, 2022

The article presents interesting results of a remarkable experiment between students and historical climatologists. The possibility of repeating the experiment dealing with a production of annual rainfall index series by two groups of raters with different experience is an indisputable advantage that can bring new findings. The article itself can serve as a good inspiration for other researchers and institutions occupied with historical climatology and having access to daily/monthly weather observations. The future possible experiments can be focused on different variable, area or time scale as the authors stated at the end of the discussion.

From the general point of view, the paper is written comprehensibly and no serious shortages haven 't been noticed. The applied methods seem to be suitable and the results are clearly presented. Therefore, the study should be accepted and only several suggestions and questions are given for consideration.

- Page 6: It would be better if the ICC values were expressed via interval, i.e. "ICC values of 0.5-0.74 are taken to represent moderate reliability, values between 0.75 and 0.89 to represent good reliability, and values ≥ 0.9 to represent excellent reliability."
- Page 7, line 188: "IRR" abbreviation should be explained.

- Why did the authors decide to apply target reliability just of 0.9? Even though the reliability of 0.9 is commonly used in many studies did the author's decision coincide with ICC values ≥ 0.9 representing excellent reliability? If did this fact should be emphasized at least by one sentence.
- I miss a picture of Lesotho in the paper because not every reader can be aware of its precise localization within Africa.
- I suggest joining a picture of a used documentary source to freshen up the paper.
- Did the authors find out what was the average time to process your task by one student/historical climatologist and if time differed significantly? It could be also an interesting point in your study.