

Biogeosciences Discuss., author comment AC1  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2022-68-AC1>, 2022  
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## Reply on RC1

Karen M. Brandenburg et al.

---

Author comment on "Physiological control on carbon isotope fractionation in marine phytoplankton" by Karen M. Brandenburg et al., Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2022-68-AC1>, 2022

---

Brandenburg et al. synthesize the available data on stable carbon isotope fractionation in phytoplankton to test how this parameter ( $E_p$ ) is controlled by  $CO_2$  and other environmental parameters. This is a very well written and interesting paper and the data collection/analysis/interpretation seem very sound. I have hardly any comments, although I must admit that my knowledge on isotope fractionation is a bit rusted as I haven't followed the literature for a couple of years.

The key message of the paper is almost frustrating, nevertheless important. From my stand-point this paper requires only very minor revisions (but I hope the other Reviewer is more up to date on the topic than I am).

*We thank the reviewer for his/her kind words. In terms of proxy applications, the key message of our analysis is indeed discouraging. Below you will find our answers to the reviewer's comments and suggestions.*

Minor comments:

Figure 2: I found the unit of the C-demand/C-supply a bit strange. Wouldn't it be easier to keep the unit as for the individual components and put them in brackets i.e. (C-demand unit)/(C-supply unit)? Just a suggestion to facilitate understanding what this parameter means.

*We agree with the reviewer that the units may be clearer, both in the figures and also in the text. Whenever we use POC production/ $CO_2$  concentration, we now consistently use POC production/[ $CO_2$ ]. We also made figure legends clearer, by typing the unit between brackets (e.g. [ $pg\ C\ cell^{-1}\ d^{-1}$ ] / [ $\mu mol\ kg^{-1}$ ]) like the reviewer suggested.*

Line 165: "...prevents diffusion of  $CO_2$  but is permeable for  $HCO_3^-$ ..." This surprised me. Are is  $CO_2$  or  $HCO_3^-$  mixed up, perhaps? Just double-checking.

*No they are not mixed up. Cyanobacterial photosynthesis takes place in the carboxysome,*

*and to allow sufficient build-up of CO<sub>2</sub> around RubisCO ensuring effective carboxylation, the membrane of this compartment is not permeable to CO<sub>2</sub>. It is for HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, which upon diffusion into the carboxysome is converted to CO<sub>2</sub>. See also the references (i.e. Espie and Kimber, 2011).*

The supplementary material could be moved to the main text. I don't see a reason to bury it there.

*As we would like to keep to main text focused and condensed, we would prefer to keep the supplement as is.*

The figures are very well designed and informative.

*Thanks!*