

## Comment on bg-2021-68

Anonymous Referee #1

---

Referee comment on "How are oxygen budgets influenced by dissolved iron and growth of oxygenic phototrophs in an iron-rich spring system? Initial results from the Espan Spring in Fürth, Germany" by Inga Köhler et al., Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-68-RC1>, 2021

---

The work of Köhler et. al is an interesting reading with new insights into this research field. The general conclusion about the work is that the paper address relevant scientific questions within the scope of Biogeosciences and should be accepted subject to minor revisions.

Some suggestions to the authors are shown below.

**Abstract.** The abstract presents many results found in the experiments, which can confuse the reader and be a little exhausting as an initial reading. My suggestion here is to present the most relevant results and conclusions, without several explanations and theories about what is possibly happening in the studied environment. For instance, the sentences "This trend existed..." (line 16) and "This may be due..." (line 18) could be deleted without prejudice to the information provided in the abstract. With such changes, I believe this section of the paper can deliver a plainer and concise message to the reader.

**Introduction.** The Figure 1e and 1f should be clarified. My suggestion here is to change Fig.1e and Fig.1f by other images where the sampling points along with the margins or levees can be seen together, not images without spatial reference of the environment. In addition, it would be beneficial for the quality of the work to insert the scale in these photos, as well as a table with the depth of the water column (which can be inserted in section 2.2 "Samplings procedures"). The English here should be revised as well (line 82).

Line 85. The word "exceptional" should be deleted.

Line 88. "as a problematic"...? The authors should complete this sentence to link it with the next phrase to make it clear and avoid the repetition of "This is" at the beginning of both sentences. What is problematic? Environmental issue? The outcome of something?

Lines 91 to 93. This part of the text can be rewritten. The presentation of the phrases in this way is a little confusing.

**Methods.** Line 103. 1C - switch the "C" to a lowercase letter to be standardized with the rest of the denominations in the text.

Line 133. Suggestion: Samples for microscopic analysis were...

Line 142. Does this day-night lighting cycle correspond to that found at the sampling site or does it correspond to a standardized methodology for these analyses?

Line 150. It seems that there is some missing information in the sentence "The cleaned PCR product...".

Line 158. Could the authors provide the definition of "laboratory air"?

**Results and discussion.** Line 194. Suggestion: On-site parameters measured in the Espan Spring: major ion concentrations, Fe(II) and DO concentrations.

Line 217. Could the authors provide the scales of the images contained in Figure 2 more clearly? It is hard to see. Maybe increase the font size and change the colour from red to white.

Line 228. I did not find these images in the supplementary material. Please insert them in the file.

Line 233 and Line 243. Delete the "&" and replace it with a comma.

Line 249. B) - switch the "B" to a lowercase letter to be standardized with the rest of the denominations in the text.

Line 294. It would really be interesting to analyse these data together with the local organic matter data (including stable carbon isotope analysis).

Line 320. Could the authors include some relevant references about the uranium occurrence in the Buntsandstein formations? Perhaps this work can help in some way: Meurer, M., Banning, A. Uranmobilisierung im Helgoländer Buntsandstein – Auswirkungen auf die Brack- und Trinkwasserqualität. Grundwasser 24, 43–50 (2019).

Line 338. Here a brief discussion of these “other possible processes” would be interesting.

Line 340. The font size used in the graphics must be increased.

Line 354. Could the authors briefly explain with more details the sentence "It also implies that the direct impact of oxygen addition is subordinate in terms of DO stable isotope changes."?

Line 371. Perhaps that sentence would be plainer if it were rewritten.

Line 385. Do the authors consider any scenario considering the (low?)-fluid shear dynamics of the Espan System? This can be one important (among many existing) environment stimulus for the bacteria adaptation in this peculiar ecosystem, especially taking into account the secondary metabolism of the cells and the possible physiological and chemical responses.