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General comments:

Overall, the idea of the study is interesting, however the major limitation is that it is based on only few grab samples (both soil and gas). Samples were collected once during low water level event and once during high water level event. Making concluding about the ecosystem based on few samples is not sufficient. For example, Figure 1 shows regression analyses that is based on only few points and same goes with other figures as well. To understand the dynamics at an ecosystem level, a much larger amount of samples should be collected. First to see the seasonal dynamics and secondly to have a reliable amount of data for statistical analyses.

Specific comments:

Figure 1 - Photos have low quality. Location of the region would be nice to show.

Lines 115-120 - You inserted pedestal into the soil and then started to collect gas samples. How long was the stabilisation period because this could create relatively large disturbance to the soil? How many gas samples were used to calculated flux? How did you access the site during high flood to avoid soil disturbance? The size of the chambers?

Line 135 - Statistical analyses: was the data normally distributed? And what tests were used to control that?

Figure 3 - caption is not referring to correct sub-plots. E.g. B is TOC not nitrogen density etc.
Line 350 - do you have data about N2O reducers: nosZ clade I and II genes? Currently the abundance of nirS, nirK and hzsB genes does not provide enough information about the entire N cycle.

Throughout the text: sometimes N2O has subscript (N₂O) and sometimes not.