

Biogeosciences Discuss., referee comment RC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-166-RC2>, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Comment on bg-2021-166

Anonymous Referee #2

Referee comment on "Tidal mixing of estuarine and coastal waters in the Western English Channel controls spatial and temporal variability in seawater CO₂" by Richard Peter Sims et al., Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-166-RC2>, 2021

MAJOR COMMENTS

This paper reports the comparison of pCO₂ measurements with two equilibration systems (both with an infra-red CO₂ detection) along a transect from Plymouth to the reference station L4. The pCO₂ data was extrapolated at larger scale using the salinity fields from a model (FVCOM), and then the air-sea CO₂ fluxes computed.

For the extrapolation the authors use a relation between ξfCO_2 (the difference of fCO₂ values of transects and fCO₂ value at L4) versus ξS (the difference of salinity values of transects and salinity value at L4). The FVCOM computes the salinity fields, that allow to compute ξfCO_2 from ξS on each grid cell of the FVCOM domain. But, in the end to compute the actual fCO₂, the ξfCO_2 needs to be added to the fCO₂ at L4. This is the major weakness of the approach because fCO₂ L4 was extrapolated linearly between the samplings at L4. These samplings are irregular, at best every 15 days, in some cases with data gaps of a couple of months (mi-April to mi-June in Figure 12). This needs to be clearly stated in text, as a major limitation.

With such a rich and dense data-set there are several possibilities to extrapolate data. I suggest the authors try an alternative and check if the results are similar. Compute a relation between fCO₂ and salinity and then extrapolate (in time) between cruises the coefficients of the relation (slope and constant of the regression line) and compute directly fCO₂ from the salinity fields of FVCOM.

I like figure 12 comparing the L4 data with the Landschützer product. Even in areas where there is a very dense data coverage in SOCAT such as the English Channel (that is extremely well covered by numerous VOS lines), spatial products extrapolating data from SOCAT are not robust. This is worrying, because if spatial products are not robust in areas of extreme data coverage, things could be worst in areas of low data coverage.

It could be useful to extract from SOCAT the data "close" to L4 and add this to Figure 12. If no such data are available in SOCAT, this should be mentioned in the paper.

I found quite strange that the authors did not mention once the work of Marrec et al. (2013) that reported pCO₂ data from VOS line between Roscoff and Plymouth, so partly in the same sampling area.

MINOR COMMENTS

L34: SOCAT is an abbreviation that should be defined.

L41 : "oversaturated with pCO₂" should read oversaturated in CO₂ with respect to atmospheric CO₂. (same L 360).

L46: "Most research ships have drafts that limit their ability to navigate safely in waters with shallow, irregular topography." and L48 "shallow-bottom boats".

Major cities worldwide were built on the shores of estuaries and rivers (London, Paris, Amsterdam, New York, etc) because estuaries have been for centuries major navigation routes. Numerous estuaries worldwide are navigated by large ships including container carriers. Admittedly there are estuaries that are very shallow, but conversely there are numerous large estuaries that can be (and have been) sampled with research vessels of standard construction with "normal" drafts. The sampling of the cited work of Bozec et al. (2012) was carried out on two research ships the "Côtes de la Manche" and the "Thalia" that are admittedly small research boats (about 25 m long), but both have a vessel draught of 3.5 m. It could be useful if the authors elaborate the point they want to make with these 2 statements on "shallow topography" and "shallow-bottom boats". Should we develop alternative measuring techniques or instrumentation for shallow estuaries ? Is the extrapolation of seaward data with models of salinity the solution ?

L111: Does it make sense to report pCO₂ values at 0.01 ppm level ?

L115 : "is installed permanently installed"

REFERENCES

Marrec P., T. Cariou, E. Collin, A. Durand, M. Latimier, E. Macé, P. Morin, S. Raimund 1, M. Vernet, Y. Bozec (2013) Seasonal and latitudinal variability of the CO₂ system in the western English Channel based on Voluntary Observing Ship (VOS) measurements. *Marine Chemistry* 155 (2013) 29-41