

Biogeosciences Discuss., referee comment RC2 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-140-RC2, 2021 © Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Comment on bg-2021-140

Anonymous Referee #1

Referee comment on "A stable ultrastructural pattern despite variable cell size in *Lithothamnion corallioides*" by Valentina Alice Bracchi et al., Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-140-RC2, 2021

General Comments

In this paper, the microstructure of the chosen coralline algae was carefully observed at each depth using electron microscopy. The study can make a significant contribution to the community that is studying the diversity of coralline alga. It is important to note that the patterned microstructure in this species is also helpful for identifying these algae. The suggestion that there may be a correlation between water depth and cell size could also enhance the value of this study.

However, the fact that the number of individuals used for observation and measurement has not been clarified is an essential deficiency when considering the reproducibility of this study. The number of individuals in the samples used should be clearly stated. In addition, to refer to the "very early diagenesis," it is essential to describe the more detailed processing and storage conditions from sample collection to observation. We believe that the current description does not go as far as to say that diagenesis effects were found in living individuals. The authors should also concern about the lack of environmental data other than the water depth. Currently, they are discussing the correlation with water depth, but various parameters such as light intensity, water pressure, water temperature, and others co-vary with water depth. In order to examine which of these parameters has more influence, environmental data other than water depth needs to be presented. In addition, even though the report is about microstructure, it is not easy to make comparisons at different water depths. Moreover, it would be better to observe the micromorphology from more angles to compose diagrams for comparison. That diagrams can be helpful for general comparison among other groups of organisms and others. The crystal photographs from various angles would make the crystal's morphology easier to understand for a general audience.

Individual comments
P2L34 Why does examining GCA reveal the primary production of macroalgae? Is it correlated with the local/regional overall macroalgae?
P3L77 This reviewer is not sure of the motivation that led to this objective. The authors' working hypothesis is that the elements depend on environmental factors, or are they stable within the region?
If the motivations of this study are not clear, it is not possible to judge whether this study is appropriate for BG audiences. This reviewer is not confident that the authors have drawn appropriate conclusions (P8L237) that will be of interest to the BG audience. Perhaps it would be better to publish the paper as a classification study in a more paleontological journal to reach the right audience.
Further, the authors should clarify what elements are included in the" ultrastructural mineralogical features" and "ultrastructure pattern."
P3L86 Is the identification of samples done by molecular biology? Since authors are discussing variation in microstructural morphology, would not species identification by morphology be a circular argument?
P3L87 The authors discuss the very early diagenesis of crystals. It is crucial to describe the details of the method from collection to sample preparation to ensure reproducibility. Please describe it. It is acceptable to make supplemental material.



