

Ann. Geophys. Discuss., author comment AC2
<https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2022-23-AC2>, 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Reply on RC1

Daniel K. Whiter et al.

Author comment on "The altitude of green OI 557.7 nm and blue N_2^+ 427.8 nm aurora"
by Daniel K. Whiter et al., Ann. Geophys. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-2022-23-AC2>, 2022

We thank the reviewer for the careful and positive evaluation of our manuscript. Here we address the two points raised.

(1) Effects of dynamics

We agree that the motions of O and N_2^+ will be different, and that N_2^+ may be transported upwards and then resonantly scatter sunlight in the blue line at 427.8 nm. However, it is important to note that the blue emission is prompt, and therefore in general in aurora is produced at the point of ionisation, and so the peak emission altitude is related to the N_2 altitude profile, not the N_2^+ altitude profile. We will add a sentence to clarify this point. We considered whether resonant scattering could influence our statistical results by examining the solar zenith angle and magnetic local time distributions at the times when the blue emission was observed at high altitude compared to the green, but found that resonant scattering cannot explain the observations (see Section 3.1 of the manuscript).

The "bend" at 120 km altitude is reproduced in our model, and seems most likely to be related to the shape of the neutral density profiles, with a change in scale height above the mesopause. Figure 7 shows that below ~ 120 km the rate of change of peak emission height with precipitation energy is much less than above 120 km, due to the relatively rapid neutral density increase going further down. The balance between the different production mechanisms of $O(^1S)$ changes with altitude as the composition changes.

(2) Shape of the modelled spectrum

We used Gaussian and Maxwellian shaped spectra simply because it has been quite standard to use those shapes – Gaussian typically represents discrete aurora while Maxwellian represents more diffuse aurora, see e.g. Strickland et al., 1993, doi:10.1029/93JA01645 and Tanaka et al., 2006, doi:10.1029/2006JA011744. We will add those references to explain this choice.