



- Lines 168-169, the sentence is a bit awkward. The authors probably want to say ...mutual information and Pearson correlation is an indication that the correlation should not be interpreted linearly (or something like that).
  
- Line 210, there should be a coma between "radial diffusion" and "is a leading".
  
- Line 238, there should be a description that SOPA is an instrument on board of Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) spacecraft.
  
- Line 256, "... and positive vice-versa". Should this be "...negative vice-versa"?
  
- Line 261, should the value above the shaded area represents a mutual information that has least three (not six) sigma significance?
  
- Lines 260-270 and Figures 4 and 5. One of the main differences between mutual information and correlation in Figures 4 and 5 is that mutual informations consistently have very pronounced secondary peaks at time offset around -100 h whereas the secondary peaks in the Pearson correlations appear to be less pronounced or less significant. Can the authors discuss this? The authors did not plot the absolute values of the correlation coefficients  $r$ , but one can sort of see this in the plots.

In mutual information plots, Figures 4a, 4c, 5a, and 5c, the secondary peaks probably correspond to negative correlations, as inferred from their Pearson correlation counterparts. The anti-correlations can also be seen in Figures 6 and 7. Can the authors explain this anti-correlation between F1.2 and Sgr and Sgeo at time offset -100 h? The anti-correlations between F130 and Sgr and Sge can also be seen in Figure 11 at about

the same time offset.

- Lines 345-346, the authors claim that their results show that quantitatively the dependence is modest. This claim is repeated on line 386 and elsewhere in the manuscript. Table 1 shows adjusted correlations of 0.6 to 0.8. In many studies of space science, correlations of 0.7-0.8 would be considered strong or very good. "modest" is probably a subjective term. Can the authors comment on what they would quantitatively consider modest or strong or weak correlations?