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We thank the Reviewer for careful reading and valuable comments.

Speiser orbits are particular orbits in magnetotail like fields with finite Bz that consist of quasi-adiabatic gyro motions outside the neutral sheet, turning into a meandering motion when the particle enters the central current sheet (together with an approximate half-gyration around the finite Bz), and become gyromotions again when the particle exits toward higher latitude on the same or the opposite side. It is not clear whether the author refer to such motion or just to the meandering part, which is an indication of non-adiabaticity. While distributions, such as in Fig. 6, are an indication of non-gyrotropy, it is not clear how they would indicate specifically Speiser type orbits.

Reply
We thank the Reviewer for a great question. In our study, we placed virtual detectors close to the equatorial plane (Bx=0). Therefore, we observe the meandering part of the Speiser trajectory. Particles there experience an acceleration in the dawn-to-dusk electric field that leads to an asymmetry in the phase space density (vy>0), that are visible in Figure 6 m, n, and o. Similar distributions were observed in simulations and observations and were attributed to the Speiser-type meandering motion (e.g., Nagai et al., 2015, doi:10.1002/2014JA020737; Hietala et al., 2015, doi:10.1002/2015GL065168). We interpreted the half-ring distributions in Fig. 6 m, n, and o as signatures of the meandering motion. We will add necessary explanations to the text.

Page 2, line 11: The original term used by Liu et al is “dipolarizing” flux bundle, as is used also later in the paper. One might, however, argue that “dipolarizing” implies “increasing Bz,” which probably was not intended by Liu and I would not object to leaving this as is.

Reply
Point taken. An increase in Bz is one of the criteria which were used by Liu et al (2013) to define ``dipolarizing flux bundles" (DFB). Yet, one should distinguish DFBs, which are transient magnetic structures, and ``dipolarizations", i.e., gradual, temporal increase in Bz. We will add the necessary clarification in the revised manuscript.
Page 3, line 24: The previous sentence refers to Fermi acceleration, causing the field-aligned beams. Simply change: “This” to “The” and a bit later eliminate “thus.” Also, the two effects are the same: adiabatic convection toward increasing B is, in the moving frame, the betatron effect.

Reply

We thank the Reviewer for the valuable suggestion.

Page 5, line 25: Shouldn’t this be a “cylinder” rather than a “sphere”?

Reply

Indeed, strictly speaking, the 2D geometry and the usage of a line dipole make it more exact to refer to the inner boundary as a perfectly conducting cylinder than a sphere. We will make the correction in the revised manuscript. Thank you!